arXiv:1106.4542v3 [math.AT] 9 Sep 2011

# $L^2$-INDEX FORMULA FOR PROPER COCOMPACT GROUP ACTIONS

HANG WANG

ABSTRACT. We study the index of $G$-invariant elliptic pseudo-differential operators acting on a complete Riemannian manifold, where a unimodular, locally compact group $G$ acts properly, cocompactly and isometrically. An $L^2$-index formula is obtained using the heat kernel method.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* 19K56, 58J35, 58J40
*Keywords:* $L^2$-index, $K$-theoretical index, $G$-trace, heat kernel.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction. 1
1.1. Main result. 1
1.2. Principle of proofs. 2
1.3. Acknowledgement. 2
2. Preliminaries. 3
3. The $G$-trace and the $L^2$-index. 6
3.1. The $G$-trace of operators on $X$. 6
3.2. The $L^2$-index of elliptic operators on $X$. 10
4. The link of the $L^2$-index to the $K$-theoretic index. 13
5. Reduction to the $L^2$-index of a Dirac type operator. 16
6. Local index formula. 19
6.1. $L^2$-index of Dirac type operators. 19
6.2. Conclusion. 25
6.3. $L^2$-index theorem for homogeneous spaces of Lie groups. 28
References 30

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1. **Main result.** Let $X$ be a complete Riemannian manifold acted on properly and cocompactly by a locally compact unimodular group $G$ and let $E$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded $G$-vector bundle over $X$. Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_0^* \\ P_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : L^2(X,E) \to L^2(X,E)$$

be a 0-order properly supported elliptic pseudo-differential operator invariant under the group action. Such an operator has a real-valued $L^2$-index defined as the difference of the von Neumann traces of the projections onto the closed $G$-invariant subspaces

$\operatorname{Ker} P_0, \operatorname{Ker} P_0^*$ of $L^2(X,E)$:

$$\operatorname{ind} P = \operatorname{tr}_G P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0} - \operatorname{tr}_G P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*}.$$

The main result of this paper is to prove that the $L^2$-index of $P$ is calculated by the following topological formula:

$$\operatorname{ind} P = \int_{TX} (c \circ \pi) \cdot (\hat{A}(X))^2 \operatorname{ch}(\sigma_P). \tag{1.1}$$

Here $c \in C_c^\infty(X)$ is a non-negative function satisfying $\int_G c(g^{-1}x) \mathrm{d}g = 1$ for all $x \in X$, and $\pi : TX \to X$ is the projection.

The formula (1.1) generalizes the $L^2$-index formula for free cocompact group actions due to Atiyah [2] and the $L^2$-index formula for homogeneous spaces of unimodular Lie groups due to Connes and Moscovici [8]. The study of $L^2$-indices in general has implications in many other areas of mathematics [24], [18]. For example, the non-vanishing of the $L^2$-index for the signature operator on $X$ indicates the existence of $L^2$-harmonic forms on $X$. The $L^2$-index is of interest in the study of the discrete series representation [8] and has been modified for use in a proof of the Novikov conjecture for hyperbolic groups [9].

1.2. **Principle of proofs.** To prove (1.1), consider $P$ as an element in the $K$-homology group $K_G^0(C_0(X))$, which has a higher index in $K_0(C^*(G))$, where $C^*(G)$ is the maximal group $C^*$-algebra. The $L^2$-index of $P$ depends only on the equivalence class of its higher index in $K_0(C^*(G))$. This is proved in section 4 by defining a trace on a dense holomorphic closed ideal $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ in $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$, where $\mathscr{E}$ is a Hilbert $C^*(G)$-module having the same $K$-theory as $C^*(G)$. The trace is the von Neumann trace of a type II von Neumann algebra in the sense of Breuer [7]. A general discussion on the link between the $L^2$-index and the higher" index may be found in [21].

Secondly, in section 5, we reduce the problem of finding $\operatorname{ind} P$ into finding $\operatorname{ind} D$ for some Dirac type operator $D$, which has the same higher index as $P$. Kasparov's K-theoretic index formula [13] is essential in the argument. The formation of Dirac type operators out of elliptic operators is also related to the vector bundle modification construction in the definition of geometric $K$-homology [4].

The final step is to calculate $\operatorname{ind} D$ using the heat kernel method. When $D$ is a first order $G$-invariant operator of Dirac type on $X$, we have the McKean-Singer formula for the $L^2$-index:

$$\operatorname{ind} D = \operatorname{tr}_G e^{-tD^*D} - \operatorname{tr}_G e^{-tDD^*}, t > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

In the case of a compact manifold without group action, a cohomological formula was obtained by studying the local invariants of metrics and connections [3], [11]. The proof for the local index formula was simplified by a rescaling argument of Getzler [10] on the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel $e^{-tD^2}$ around $t = 0$. Since the index $\operatorname{ind} D$ in (1.2) is local when $t \to 0+$, the group action does not affect the calculation. The proof is based on a modification of the proofs in [20], [5] and is done in section 6.

1.3. **Acknowledgement.** The work is modified from the author's PHD thesis and is funded by NSF, Vanderbilt and IHES. Special thanks go to Professor Gennadi Kasparov for proposing this topic and for his advice. Also thanks R. Ji, J. Perez, R. Ponge, R. Willett, S. Xu, G. Yu, W. Zhang and etc. for many helpful discussions and remarks.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES.

Let $G$ be a locally compact and uni-modular group. Compact groups and discrete groups are examples of unimodular groups. Fix a bi-invariant Haar measure $\mu$ on $G$. For simplicity, write $\mathrm{d}g \doteq \mathrm{d}\mu(g)$ and we have $\mathrm{d}(tg) = \mathrm{d}g, \mathrm{d}(gt) = \mathrm{d}g$ and $\mathrm{d}(g^{-1}) = \mathrm{d}g$ for any $g,t \in G$. Let $X$ be a complete Riemannian manifold, on which $G$ acts properly and cocompactly and isometrically, i.e., the pre-image of any compact set under the continuous map $G \times X \to X \times X : (g,x) \mapsto (g \cdot x, x)$ is compact, the quotient space $X/G$ is compact, and $G$ respects the Riemannian metric. The reason to consider proper cocompact actions is the existence of a cutoff function on $X$.

**Definition 2.1.** A nonnegative function $c \in C_c^\infty(X)$ is a *cutoff function* if for all $x \in X$, $\int_G c(g^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}g = 1$ .

*Remark* 2.2. A proper cocompact $G$-space has a cutoff function $c \in C_c^\infty(X)$ given by

$$c(x) = \frac{h(x)}{\int_G h(g^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}g},$$

where $h(x) \in C_c^\infty(X)$ is nonnegative and has non empty intersection with each orbit.

*Example* 2.3. Let $G$ be a Lie group with a compact subgroup $H$, and let $X = G/H$ be the homogeneous space consisting of all the left cosets of $H$ in $G$. The action of $G$ on $X$ is proper. Further, let $E$ be a representation space of $H$. The induced representation space $Y = G \times_H E$, which forms a $G$-vector bundle over $X$, is a proper $G$-space. According to the slice theorem, every proper space has such a local structure.

**Theorem 2.4** (Slice theorem)**.** *Let $G$ be a locally compact group and $X$ be a proper $G$-space. Then for any $x \in X$ and for any neighborhood $O$ of $x$ in $X$, there exists a compact subgroup $K$ of $G$ with $G_x \doteq \{g \in G | gx = x\} \subset K$ and a $K$-slice $S$ such that $x \in S \subset O$. Recall that A $K$-invariant subset $S \subset X$ is a $K$-slice in $X$ if*

1. *The union $G(S)$(called the tubular set) of all orbits intersecting $S$ is open;*
2. *There is a $G$-equivariant map $f : G(S) \to G/K$ (the slicing map), such that $S = f^{-1}(eK)$.*

An introduction to the slice theorem may be found in [1] section 2. According to [6] Ch.II Theorem 4.2, the tubular set $G(S) \subset X$ with a compact slicing subgroup $K$ is $G$-homeomorphic to $G \times_K S$.

*Remark* 2.5. Since $X$ is covered by $G$-invariant neighborhoods and since $X/G$ is compact, then $X$ has a finite sub-cover, i.e.,

$$X = \cup_{i=1}^N G \times_{K_i} S_i = \cup_{i=1}^N G(S_i). \tag{2.1}$$

The local structure (2.1) of $X$ defines a $G$-invariant measure $\mathrm{d}x$ on $X$. In fact, The measure of a set in $G(S_i)$ is calculated from the measure on $G$ and on $S_i$ divided by the measure of $K_i$. Then the measure of a set $T \subset X$ is defined using a partition of unity argument. The 1-density on the Riemannian manifold $X$ also defines the same measure.

Before introducing ellipticity we will recall the following definitions concerning pseudo-differential operators. Let $(E,p)$ be a finite dimensional complex $G$-vector bundle over $X$, i.e., there is a smooth $G$ action on $E$ such that $p(gv) = gp(v)$ for $v \in E$ and the maps of the fibers $g : E_x \to E_{gx}$ are linear. Let $\pi : T^*X \to X$ be the projection map and $\pi^*E$ be the pull back bundle of $E$ over $T^*X$. Assume $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded and the $G$-action is grading preserving. The $G$ action on $E_0, E_1$ gives rise to a $G$-bundle $\mathrm{End}(\pi^*E_0, \pi^*E_1)$. A *symbol* function $\sigma$ of order $m$ is a continuous section of this bundle satisfying

$$|\frac{\partial^a}{\partial x^{|a|}}\frac{\partial^b}{\partial \xi^{|b|}}\sigma_P(x,\xi)| \le C_{a,b,K}(1+\|\xi\|)^{m-|b|} \tag{2.2}$$

for $x$ in any compact set $K \subset X$ and $\xi$ in the fiber $T_xX$, where $C_{a,b,K}$ is a constant depending on $a, b, K$. The set of all order $m$ symbols is denoted by $S^m(X; E_0, E_1)$ and a *principal symbol* of order $m$ is an element in the quotient $S^m(X; E_0, E_1)/S^{m-1}(X; E_0, E_1)$. We will work with principal symbols but shall omit the word "principal" from now on.

Each symbol $\sigma$ has an *amplitude* $p$ defined by $p(x,y,\xi) = \alpha(x,y)\sigma(q(y,(x,\xi_x)))$, where $\alpha \in C^\infty(X \times X)$ has support contained in a small neighborhood of the diagonal such that $\alpha(x,x) = 1, \alpha(x,y) \ge 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $q : X \times T^*X \to T^*X : (y,(x,\xi_x)) \mapsto (y,\xi_y)$ where $\xi_y$ is the parallel transport of $\xi_x$ from $x$ to $y$. Conversely, $\sigma(x,\xi) = p(x,x,\xi)$. To each amplitude $p(x,y,\xi)$ we may construct a *pseudo-differential operator* $P_0 : C_c^\infty(X, E_0) \to C^\infty(X, E_1)$ via

$$P_0u(x) = \int_{X \times T_x^*X} e^{i\Phi(x,y,\xi)} p(x,y,\xi)u(y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi_x, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Phi(x,y,\xi) =< \exp_x^{-1}(y), \xi_x >$ is the phase function. Here $C_c^\infty(X,E)$ is the set of smooth sections of $E$ with compact support in $X$ and there is a natural $G$-action on $C_c^\infty(X,E)$, defined by $(g \cdot f)(x) = g(f(g^{-1}x)), g \in G, f \in C_c^\infty(X,E)$. Let $K_{P_0}(x,y) \in \mathrm{End}(E_{0y}, E_{1x})$ be the *Schwartz kernel* of $P_0$, i.e. $P_0u(x) = \int_X K_{P_0}(x,y)u(y)\mathrm{d}y$ for all $u(x) \in C_c^\infty(X, E_0)$, which is expressed in the following distributional sense,

$$K_P(x,y)(w) = \int_{X \times T^*X} e^{i\Phi(x,y,\xi)} p(x,y,\xi)w(x,y)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi, w \in C_c^\infty(X \times X). \tag{2.4}$$

We require $P_0$ to be $G$-invariant: $P_0(gf) = gP_0(f), f \in C_c^\infty(X, E_0)$, for every $g \in G$. Clearly, the Schwartz kernel of a $G$-invariant an operator $P$ satisfies that

$$K_P(x,y) = K_P(gx,gy) \text{ for all } x,y \in X, g \in G. \tag{2.5}$$

Assume $P_0$ to be *properly supported*. Recall that $P$ is properly supported if for any compact subset $K \subset X$, the subsets $\mathrm{supp}K_P \cap (K \times X)$ and $\mathrm{supp}K_P \cap (X \times K)$ in $X \times X$ are compact. The operator defined in (2.3) is properly supported. Proper supportness of $P_0$ in particular implies that $P_0 : C_c^\infty(X, E_1) \to C_c^\infty(X, E_1)$. Choose a $G$-invariant Hermitian structure on $E$ and let $L^2(X,E)$ be the completion of $C_c(X,E)$ under inner product, $< f, g >_{L^2} = \int_X < f(x), g(x) >_{E_x} \mathrm{d}x$. We assume $P$ to be essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(X,E)$ with odd grading. In particular, $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_0^* \\ P_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Without loss of generality, $P$ is assumed to be of order 0. In this case $P$ extends to a bounded self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X,E)$.

We introduce the following notations. Denote by $\Psi_G^n(X;E,F)(\Psi_{G,p}^n(X;E,F))$ the subset of $G$-invariant (properly supported) elements in $\Psi^n(X;E,F)$, the set of order $n$ pseudo-differential operators from $C_c^\infty(X,E)$ to $C^\infty(X,F)$, and denote by $\Psi_c^n(X;E,F)$ the subset of operators having compactly supported Schwartz kernels.

Observe that the symbol of an operator $P \in \Psi^*_{G,p}$ is $G$-invariant. Conversely, if $\sigma(x,\xi)$ is a $G$-invariant symbol, then there is an operator in $\Psi^*_{G,p}$ with symbol $\sigma(x,\xi)$. To do this we build $P$ out of $\sigma(x,\xi)$ using (2.3) and use the averaging process from [8]:

$$\mathrm{Av}_G : \Psi^*_c \to \Psi^*_{G,p} : P \mapsto \int_G gPg^{-1}\mathrm{d}g. \tag{2.6}$$

Then $Av_G(cP) \in \Psi^*_{G,p}$, where $c$ is a cutoff function for $X$, has the symbol $\sigma(x,\xi)$. Note that If $P \in \Psi^*_{G,p}$ then $P = \mathrm{Av}_G(cP)$.

**Definition 2.6.** A pseudo-differntial operator $P \in \Psi^m(X;E,F)$ is *elliptic* if there exists $Q \in \Psi^{-m}(X;F,E)$ so that

$$\|\sigma_P(x,\xi)\sigma_Q(x,\xi) - I\| \to 0 \text{ and } \|\sigma_Q(x,\xi)\sigma_P(x,\xi) - I\| \to 0 \tag{2.7}$$

uniformly in $x \in K$ as $\xi \to \infty$ in $T^*_xX$ for any compact subset $K$ in $X$. Without loss of generality, we will consider order-0 elliptic pseudo-differential operators of form $P_0 \in \Psi^0_{G,p}(X;E_0,E_1)$ with the condition (2.7) replaced by

$$\|\sigma_{P_0}(x,\xi)\sigma_{P_0^*}(x,\xi) - I\| \to 0 \text{ and } \|\sigma_{P_0^*}(x,\xi)\sigma_{P_0}(x,\xi) - I\| \to 0. \tag{2.8}$$

**Proposition 2.7.** (1) *If $P \in \Psi^n_c$, then $\mathrm{Av}_G(P) \in \Psi^n_{G,p}$.*

(2) *If $P \in \Psi^n_{G,p}(X)$ is elliptic, then there exists a parametrix $Q \in \Psi^{-n}_{G,p}(X)$ such that*

$$1 - PQ = S_1 \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}(X), 1 - QP = S_2 \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}(X), \tag{2.9}$$

*where $\Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}(X) = \cap_{n\in\mathbb{R}}\Psi^n_{G,p}(X)$ is the set of smoothing operators.*

(3) *If $S \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}(X)$, then $K_S(\cdot,\cdot)$ is smooth and properly supported.*

*Proof.* (1) Clearly, $\mathrm{Av}_G(P) \in \Psi^*_{G,p}(X)$. If $p(x,y,\xi) \in S^m(X \times T^*X)$ is the amplitude then $P \in \Psi^n_c$ implies that $K = \{(x,y) \in X \times X | p(x,y,\xi) \neq 0\}$ is compact. Using the fact that the Riemannian metric on $T^*X$ is $G$-invariant and the measure on $X$ is $G$-invariant, we calculate the amplitude for $\mathrm{Av}_G(P)$ as

$$\int_G p(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y, \xi_{g^{-1}x})\mathrm{d}g$$

which is of order $n$ because the integral is taken over a set $\{g \in G | (g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y) \in K\}$ which is compact.

(2) Let $P \in \Psi^n_{G,p}(X)$ be elliptic and $c \in C^\infty_c(X)$ be a cutoff function for $X$. Cover $X$ by finitely many bounded open balls $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(c) \subset \cup_{i=1}^N U_i$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the finite cover. Since $P$ is elliptic, which implies that for any compact $K \subset X$, there exists a constant $C_K$ such that $|\sigma_A| \geq C(1+|\xi|)^n$ uniformly for all $|\xi| \geq C_K$, then there exists $Q_i \in \Psi^{-n}_c(U_i), 1 \leq i \leq N$ so that

$$PQ_i - a_i = R_{1,i}, Q_iP - a_i = R_{2,i}$$

are elements in $\Psi^{-\infty}_c(U_i)$. Extend the elements in $\Psi^*_c(U_i)$ to $\Psi^*_c(X)$ and then

$$c\sum_{i=1}^N Q_iP - c = c\sum_{i=1}^N R_{2,i}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \in \Psi_c^{-n}(X)$, $\sum_{i=1}^N R_{2,i} \in \Psi_c^{-\infty}(X)$, we take that $Q = \int_G g(c\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i)\mathrm{d}g \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-n}(X)$ and $S = \int_G g(c\sum_{i=1}^N R_{2,i})\mathrm{d}g \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-\infty}(X)$. Then $QP = \int_G g(c\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i)P\mathrm{d}g = \int_G g(c)g(\sum_{i=1}^N Q_iP)\mathrm{d}g = \int_G g(c)\mathrm{d}g + \int_G g(c)g(\sum_{i=1}^n R_{2,i})\mathrm{d}g = I+S$.

Similarly, there is a $Q' = \int_G g(\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i c)\mathrm{d}g \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-n}(X)$ and $S' \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-\infty}(X)$ so that $PQ' - I = S'$. Since $Q' + SQ' - Q = (1+S)Q' - Q = Q(PQ'-1) = QS'$, then $Q' - Q \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-\infty}(X)$. Hence there are $S_1, S_2 = S \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-\infty}(X)$ such that $PQ = 1+S_1, QP = 1+S_2$.

(3) If $S \in \Psi_{G,p}^{-\infty}(X)$, then $cS \in \Psi_c^{-\infty}(X)$.

We know that $cS \in \Psi_c^{-\infty}(X)$ is equivalent to the fact that $K_{cS}(x,y)$ is smooth and compactly supported in $X \times X$. Therefore the statement follows from the fact that

$$K_S(x,y) = K_{\mathrm{Av}_G(cS)}(x,y) = \int_G K_{cS}(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y)\mathrm{d}g$$

and the fact that the integral vanishes outside a compact set in $G$. □

## 3. THE $G$-TRACE AND THE $L^2$-INDEX.

When $X$ is compact and when $G$ is trivial, the dimensions of $\mathrm{Ker}P_0$ and $\mathrm{Ker}P_0^*$ are finite and their difference defines the index of $P$. In our case we measure the size of $\mathrm{Ker}P_0$ or $\mathrm{Ker}P_0^*$ by a real number in terms of the von Neumann dimension. An $L^2$-index of $P$, analogous to the Fredholm index is defined, motivated by the $L^2$-index defined by Atiyah [2] and modified upon [8].

### 3.1. The $G$-trace of operators on $X$.

**Definition 3.1.** A bounded operator $S : L^2(X,E) \to L^2(X,E)$, which commutes with the action of $G$, is of $G$-trace class if $\phi S \psi$ is of trace class for all $\phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(X)$. Recall that a bounded operator $T$ on Hilbert space $H$ is of trace class if $\sum_i | < Te_i, e_i > | < \infty$ where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space and its trace is defined as $\mathrm{tr}(T) = \sum_i < Te_i, e_i >$ . If $S$ is a $G$-trace class operator, we calculate the $G$-trace by the formula

$$\mathrm{tr}_G(S) = \mathrm{tr}(c_1 S c_2), \tag{3.1}$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in C_c^\infty(X)$ are positive, satisfying $c_1c_2 = c$ for some cutoff function $c$ on $X$.

*Remark* 3.2. This definition is essentially the definition of the $G$-trace class operator appearing in [2] where $G$ is discrete. Similarly to Lemma 4.9 of [2], we prove in the following proposition that $\mathrm{tr}_G$ is well defined, i.e. $\mathrm{tr}_G$ is independent of the choice of $c_1, c_2$ and $c$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let S (bounded, G-invariant and positive) be a G-trace class operator and* $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in C_0^\infty(X)$ *be positive functions satisfying* $\int_G c_1(g^{-1}x)c_2(g^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}g = 1$ *and* $\int_G d_1(g^{-1}x)d_2(g^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}g = 1$, *which means that* $c = c_1c_2$ *and* $d = d_1d_2$ *are cutoff functions on* $X$. *Then* $\mathrm{tr}(c_1Sc_2) = \mathrm{tr}(d_1Sd_2)$.

*Proof.* Let $K = \{g \in G | \operatorname{supp}(g\cdot(d_1d_2)) \cap \operatorname{supp} c \neq \emptyset\}$ and then $K$ is compact by the properness of the group action. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(c_1Sc_2) &= \operatorname{tr}(\int_G [g\cdot(d_1d_2)]c_1Sc_2\mathrm{d}g) = \operatorname{tr}(\int_K [g\cdot(d_1d_2)]c_1Sc_2\mathrm{d}g)\\
&= \int_K \operatorname{tr}([g\cdot d_1][g\cdot d_2]c_1Sc_2)\mathrm{d}g = \int_K \operatorname{tr}(c_1[g\cdot d_1]D[g\cdot d_2]c_2)\mathrm{d}g\\
&= \int_K \operatorname{tr}([g^{-1}\cdot c_1]d_1Sd_2[g^{-1}\cdot c_2])\mathrm{d}g = \operatorname{tr}([\int_G g(c_1c_2)\mathrm{d}g]d_1Sd_2) = \operatorname{tr}(d_1Dd_2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using the fact that tr is a well-defined trace on the compactly supported operators on $X$, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{tr}_G$ is linear, faithful, normal and semi-finite. The tracial property of $\operatorname{tr}_G$ is proved in the following proposition together with some other properties of $\operatorname{tr}_G$.

**Proposition 3.4.** (1) *A properly supported smoothing operator $A \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}$ is of $G$-trace class. If $K_A : X\times X \to \operatorname{End}E$ is the kernel of the operator then its $G$-trace is calculated by*

$$\operatorname{tr}_G(A) = \int_X c(x)\operatorname{Tr}K_A(x,x)\mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.2}$$

*where $c$ is a cutoff function and* Tr *is the matrix trace of* $\operatorname{End}E$. *In fact, this formula holds for all $G$-invariant operators having smooth integral kernel.*

(2) *If $A \in \Psi^*_G$ is of $G$-trace class, so is $A^*$.*

(3) *If $A \in \Psi^*_G$ is of $G$-trace class and $B \in \Psi^*_G$ is bounded, then $AB$ and $BA$ are of $G$-trace class.*

(4) *If $AB$ and $BA$ are of $G$-trace class, then* $\operatorname{tr}_G(AB) = \operatorname{tr}_G(BA)$

*Proof.* Let $\phi,\psi \in C^\infty_c(X)$ and let $\{\alpha_i^2\}_{i=1}^N$ be the $G$-invariant partition of unity in Proposition 2.7.

(1) Proposition 2.7 item 3 shows that $A \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}$ has smooth kernel $K_A(x,y)$. Then $K_{\phi A\psi}(x,y) = \phi(x)K_A(x,y)\psi(y)$, is smooth and compactly supported, which means that $\phi A\psi$ is of trace class. The integral formula for smoothing operators is classical. A proof may be found at [22] Section 2.21.

(2) Because $\bar{\psi}A\bar{\phi}$ has finite trace by definition, then $\phi A^*\psi = (\bar{\psi}A\bar{\phi})^*$ is of trace class.

(3) Assume we have a $G$-trace class operator $A \in \Psi^*_{G,p}$. Since $\operatorname{supp}\psi$ is compact then as $A$ is properly supported, there is a compact set $K$ so that $\operatorname{supp}A\psi \subset K$. Choose $\eta,\zeta \in C^\infty_c(X)$ with $K \subset \operatorname{supp}\eta$ and $\eta\zeta = \eta$. Then $\eta A\psi = A\psi$ and for a bounded $B \in \Psi^*_G$, we have that $\phi BA\psi = \phi B\zeta\eta A\psi = (\phi B\zeta)(\eta A\psi)$. Since $\phi B\zeta$ is bounded operator with compact support and $\eta A\psi$ is trace class operator then their product is also a trace-class operator. So $BA$ is of $G$-trace class. $AB$ is of $G$-trace class because $B^*A^*$ is of $G$-trace class.

If $A \in \Psi^*_G$, then we have $A = A_1 + A_2$ so that $A_1 \in \Psi^*_{G,p}$ and $A_2$ has smooth kernel (which follows from a classical statement saying that the Schwartz kernel is smooth off the diagonal). Then the statement follows from the fact that $\phi A_1\psi$ has smooth, compactly supported Schwartz kernel.

(4) We first prove a special case when $AB$ and $BA$ have smooth integral kernels. Use the slice theorem (2.1) to get $\{G\times_{K_i} S_i = G(S_i)\}_{i=1}^N$, $G$-invariant tubular open sets covering $X$. Then there exist $G$-invariant maps $\alpha_i : X \to [0,1]$ with $\operatorname{supp}\alpha_i \subset G(S_i)$ such that

$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i^2 = 1$. In fact, let $\tilde{\alpha}_i{}^2$ be a partition of unity of $X/G$ subordinate to the open sets $G(S_i)/G$. Lift $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ to $\alpha_i$ on $X$, then $\{\alpha_i^2\}$ is a $G$-invariant partition of unity of $X$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{tr}_G(AB) = \int_X \int_X c(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}(K_A(x,y)K_B(y,x))\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x \\
=&\sum_{i,j} \int_{G\times_{K_i} S_i} \int_{G\times_{K_j} S_j} \alpha_i^2(x)\alpha_j^2(y)c(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}(K_A(x,y)K_B(y,x))\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x \\
=&\sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{\mu(K_i)\mu(K_j)} \int_{S_i}\int_{S_j} \alpha_i^2(\bar{s})\alpha_j^2(\bar{t}) \int_G \int_G c(\overline{ht})\,\mathrm{Tr}(K_A(\overline{gs},\overline{ht})K_B(\overline{ht},\overline{gs}))\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}h\mathrm{d}t \\
=&\sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{\mu(K_i)\mu(K_j)} \int_{S_i}\int_{S_j} \alpha_i^2(\bar{s})\alpha_j^2(\bar{t}) \int_G \mathrm{Tr}(K_A(\bar{s},\overline{ht})K_B(\overline{ht},\bar{s}))\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}h\mathrm{d}t = \mathrm{tr}_G(BA).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the third equality, $\overline{gs} \doteq (g,s)K_i = x \in G\times_{K_i} S_i$ and $\overline{ht} \doteq (h,t)K_j = y \in G\times_{K_j} S_j$ and by definition $\alpha_i(\bar{s}) = \alpha_i(\overline{gs}), \alpha_j(\bar{t}) = \alpha_j(\overline{ht})$. Also, we have used (2.5), $\mathrm{d}h^{-1} = \mathrm{d}h, \mathrm{d}(h^{-1}g) = \mathrm{d}g$, and change of variable in the fourth equality.

If either $A$ or $B$ are properly supported, (say $A$), then $\mathrm{tr}_G(AB) = \mathrm{tr}(c_1ABc_2) = \mathrm{tr}(\int_G c_1Ag\cdot (c_1c_2)Bc_2)$. So the $g \in G$ making $c_1Ag\cdot c_1$ non zero form a compact set $K$, which allow us to interchange tr and $\int_K$, use tracial property of tr and $G$-invariance of $A$ and $B$ to prove $\mathrm{tr}_G(AB) = \mathrm{tr}_G(BA)$

In general let $A = A_1 + A_2$ and $B = B_1 + B_2$ where $A_1, B_1$ are properly supported and $A_2, B_2$ are bounded and have smooth kernel. Then $\mathrm{tr}_G(AB) = \mathrm{tr}_G(BA)$ using the special cases discussed above. □

*Remark* 3.5. Let $S$ be a bounded $G$-invariant operator with smooth integral kernel and let $S_i \doteq \alpha_i S \alpha_i \in \Psi_c^{-\infty}(X;E,E)$. Then $\alpha_i^2 S$ is of $G$-trace class by Proposition 3.4 item 3. We may calculate $\mathrm{tr}_G(S)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{tr}_G(S) = \mathrm{tr}_G(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i^2 S) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{G\times_{K_i} S_i} \alpha_i(x)c(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,K_S(x,x)\alpha_i(x)\mathrm{d}x \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{G\times_{K_i} S_i} c(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,K_{S_i}(x,x)\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu(K_i)^{-1} \int_{G\times S_i} c((g,s))\,\mathrm{Tr}\,K_{S_i}((g,s),(g,s))\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}s \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu(K_i)^{-1} \int_{G\times S_i} c((g,s))\,\mathrm{Tr}\,K_{S_i}((e,s),(e,s))\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu(K_i)^{-1} \int_{S_i} \mathrm{Tr}\,K_{S_i}(s,s)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

The above trace formula coincides with the trace formulas in the special cases.

1. Let $X$ be compact with $G$ being trivial and $H_0 = L^2(X,E_0), H_1 = L^2(X,E_1)$ be separable Hilbert spaces, with orthonormal basis $\{e_i\},\{f_i\}$. There is a faithful, normal and semi-finite trace Tr on $\mathscr{B}(H_0,H_1)$ so that $\mathrm{tr}(A) = \sum(Ae_i, f_i)$ for $A \geq 0$. The set of trace class operators is defined as the linear span of positive operators with finite trace.
2. When the action is free and cocompact, we have $X = G\times U$, and for a bounded positive self adjoint operator $S$ with smooth kernel, we have that $\mathrm{tr}_G(S) = \int_U \mathrm{Tr}\,K_S(x,x)\mathrm{d}x$.

(3) For a homogeneous space of a Lie group $X = G/H$, and for $S \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}(X)$, we have $\mathrm{tr}_G(S) = K_S(e,e)$, where $e \in G$ is the identity.

**Proposition 3.6.** *If $P \in \Psi^m_{G,p}$ is an elliptic operator, then $\mathrm{tr}_G(P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0}), \mathrm{tr}_G(P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0^*}) < \infty$. Moreover, $P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0}, P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0^*} \in \Psi^{-\infty}_G$.*

*Proof.* There is a $Q \in \Psi^{-m}_{G,p}$ so that $1 - QP_0 = S \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}$. Denote $(1-S^*)(1-S) = 1 - T$ and $T^*T$ is of $G$-trace class because it is a smoothing operator (smoothing operators are closed under addition and product). It is sufficient to prove $\dim_G(\mathrm{Ker}(1-T)) < \infty$ because

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0 \subset \mathrm{Ker}(1-S) = \mathrm{Ker}(1-T).$$

Observe that $\mathrm{Ker}(1-T)$ is the eigenspace of $T^*T$ at 1, so $P_{\mathrm{Ker}(1-T)} = \delta_1(T^*T) \leq T^*T$. So the fact that $T^*T$ is of $G$-trace class implies that $\mathrm{tr}_G(P_{\mathrm{Ker}(1-T)}) < \infty$.

For the second statement, $P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0}$ is a smoothing operator because it maps $L^2$-sections to elements in $\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0$, which are smooth by Proposition 2.7. To prove to, we apply $1 - QP_0 = S \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}$ to $P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0}$ and get $P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0} = SP_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0} \in \Psi^{-\infty}_G$. □

*Remark* 3.7. Let $\{\alpha_i^2\}_{i=1}^N$ be the $G$-invariant partition of unity in the proof of Proposition 3.4 item 4. Then by the same property and for any bounded operator $T \in \Psi^{-\infty}_G$, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_G\,T = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathrm{tr}_G(\alpha_i T \alpha_i)$$

where every summand $\alpha_i T \alpha_i$ is $G$-invariant and restricts to a slice $G \times_{K_i} S_i \subset X$.

The action of $G$ on the vector bundle $E$ is induced by the action of its subgroup $K_i$ on $V \doteq E|_{S_i}$, the restriction of the bundle $E$ over a subset $\{(e,s)K_i | s \in S_i\}$ of $X$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} V = E|_{S_i} \arrow[r, "k \in K_i"] \arrow[d] & V = E|_{S_i} \arrow[d] \\ \{e\} \times S_i \arrow[r, "k \in K_i"] & \{e\} \times S_i \end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have the identification of the Hilbert spaces $L^2(G \times_{K_i} S_i, E) = (L^2(G) \otimes L^2(S_i, V))^{K_i}$, which consists of the elements of $L^2(G) \otimes L^2(S,V)$ invariant under the action of $K_i$, where $k \in K_i$ acts by

$$k(f(g), h(s)) = (f(gk^{-1}), k \cdot h(s)), g \in G, s \in S_i, f \in L^2(G), h \in L^2(S_i, V).$$

The $G$-invariance of $\ker P_0$ implies that $\alpha_i P_{\ker P_0} \alpha_i$ is an element of

$$\mathscr{R}(L^2(G)) \otimes \mathscr{B}(L^2(S_i, V)), \tag{3.3}$$

and this element commutes with the action of the group $K_i$ on $\mathscr{R}(L^2(G)) \otimes \mathscr{B}(L^2(S_i, V))$. Here $\mathscr{R}(L^2(G))$ is the weak closure of the right regular representation of $G$ ($L^1(G)$ more precisely) represented on $L^2(G)$. On this set there is a natural von Neumann trace determined by

$$\tau(R(f)^* R(f)) = \int_G |f(g)|^2 \mathrm{d}g,$$

where $f \in L^2(G) \cap L^1(G)$ and $R(f) = \int_G f(g) R(g) \mathrm{d}g$. Here $R(g)$ is the right regular representation of $g \in G$ on $L^2(G)$. Also $\mathscr{B}(L^2(S_i, V))$ also has a subset where an operator trace tr can be defined. There is a natural normal, semi-finite and faithful trace defined on $\mathscr{R}(L^2(G))\otimes\mathscr{B}(L^2(S_i,V))$ given by $\tau\otimes\mathrm{tr}$ on algebraic tensors. Refer to [19] Section 2 for a detailed description.

This trace coincides with the $G$-trace in Definition 3.1 on the set of bounded $G$-invariant operators with smooth kernel. In fact, by a partition of unity argument, such an operator is finite sum of operators of form $S=A\otimes B\in\mathscr{R}(L^2(G))\otimes\dashv(L^2(S_i,V))$, which commutes with the action of $K_i$, where $A$ and $B$ have smooth kernel. In [8], it has been shown that $\tau(A)=K_A(e,e)$. Let $d\in C_c^\infty(G)$ be any cutoff function for $G$. Then $\tau(A)=\int_G d(g)K_A(g,g)\mathrm{d}g$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(A)\,\mathrm{tr}(B)&=\int_G d(g)K_A(g,g)\mathrm{d}g\int_{S_i}\mathrm{Tr}K_B(s,s)\mathrm{d}s\\&=\int_{G\times S_i}\frac{1}{\mu(K_i)}c((g,s))\mathrm{Tr}K_S((g,s),(g,s))\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}s\\&=\int_{G\times_{K_i}S_i}c(x)\mathrm{Tr}K_S(x,x)\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Over $\Psi^{-\infty}_{G,p}(X;E,E)$ the $G$-trace equals the natural von Neumann trace on the von Neumann algebra $\mathscr{R}(L^2(X,E))$, the weak closure of all the natural bounded operators on $L^2(X,E)$ which commute with the action of $G$. The $L^2$-index is the difference of the von Neumann trace of $P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0}$ and $P_{\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0^*}$.*

*Example* 3.9. When $G$ is a discrete group acting on itself by the left translation, choose $c(g)=\begin{cases}1 & g=e\\ 0 & g\neq e\end{cases}$ then

$$\mathrm{tr}\,cT=\sum_{g\in G}<T\delta_g,\delta_g>=<\sum_{g\in G}g^{-1}(cT)g\delta_e,\delta_e>=<\mathrm{Av}(cT)\delta_e,\delta_e>=\mathrm{tr}_G\,\mathrm{Av}(cT).$$

In general, $\mathrm{Av}(\mathrm{c}\cdot):\mathscr{B}(L^2(G))\to\mathscr{R}(L^2(G))$ extends the map $\Psi^*_c\to\Psi^*_{G,p}:cT\to\mathrm{Av}(cT)$ which preserves the corresponding trace. When $T$ is $G$-invariant, $T=\mathrm{Av}(cT)$ and then $\mathrm{tr}_G\,T=\mathrm{tr}_G\,\mathrm{Av}(cT)=\mathrm{tr}\,cT$ motivates the $\mathrm{tr}_G$ formula.

### 3.2. The $L^2$-index of elliptic operators on $X$.

According to Proposition 3.6, we define a real valued *$G$-dimension* of $K$, a closed $G$-invariant subspace of $L^2(X,E)$, by

$$\dim_G K=\mathrm{tr}_G\,P_K$$

where $P_K$ is the projection from $L^2(X,E)$ onto $K$, which is a $G$-invariant operator.

**Definition 3.10.** The *$L^2$-index* of the elliptic operator $P\in\Psi^*_{G,p}$ is

$$\mathrm{ind}\,P=\dim_G\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0-\dim_G\mathrm{Ker}\,P_0^*. \tag{3.4}$$

An immediate computation of the $L^2$-index is given in the following proposition,

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let $P\in\Psi^m_{G,p}$ be elliptic and $Q$ be an operator so that $1-QP_0=S_1, 1-P_0Q=S_2$ are of $G$-trace class, then*

$$\mathrm{ind}\,P=\mathrm{tr}_G\,S_1-\mathrm{tr}_G\,S_2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the one in [2]. We have

$$S_1 P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0} = P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0} \text{ and } P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*} S_2 = P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*}$$

by composing $QP_0 = 1 - S_1$ with $P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0}$ and by composing $P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*}$ with $1 - S_2 = P_0 Q$ respectively. Also, $P_0(QP_0) = (P_0 Q)P_0$ implies that $P_0 S_1 = S_2 P_0$. Set $R = \delta_0(P_0^* P_0) P_0^*$ where $\delta_0(0) = 1, \delta_0(x) = 0$ for $x \neq 0$, so

$$RP_0 = 1 - P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0}, P_0 R = 1 - P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*}.$$

On one hand $\operatorname{tr}_G S_1 - \operatorname{tr}_G P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0} = \operatorname{tr}_G S_1(1 - P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0}) = \operatorname{tr}_G(S_1 R P_0)$, on the other hand $\operatorname{tr}_G S_2 - \operatorname{tr}_G P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*} = \operatorname{tr}_G S_2(1 - P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*}) = \operatorname{tr}_G(S_2 P_0 R) = \operatorname{tr}_G(P_0 S_1 R)$. Therefore $\operatorname{tr}_G S_1 - \operatorname{tr}_G S_2 = \operatorname{tr}_G P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0} - \operatorname{tr}_G P_{\operatorname{Ker} P_0^*}$ by Proposition 3.4. □

The purpose of Proposition 4 is to derive the following *McKean-Singer formula*.

**Corollary 3.12.** *If* $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0^* \\ D_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi_G^1(X; E, E)$ *is a first order essentially self adjoint elliptic differential operator, then*

$$\operatorname{ind} D = \operatorname{tr}_G(e^{-tD_0^* D_0}) - \operatorname{tr}_G(e^{-tD_0 D_0^*}) \text{ for all } t > 0, \tag{3.5}$$

*which in particular means that* $\operatorname{ind} D$ *is independent of* $t > 0$.

To prove (3.5) we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let* $D_0$ *be as above, then* $e^{-tDD^*}$ *and* $e^{-tD^*D}$ *are of G-trace class.*

*Proof of lemma 3.13.* It is sufficient to prove the case when $t = 1$. The proof is based on the ideas in [12] [8]. Also refer to the heat kernel estimate for a Riemannian manifold in [17] Appendix B.

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - [0, \infty)$, then $\lambda I - D_0^* D_0$ is invertible. Let $L = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} | d(\lambda, \mathbb{R}_+) = 1\}$ be clock-wise oriented. Then

$$e^{-D_0^* D_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda I - D_0^* D_0} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Let $\phi, \psi \in C_c(X)$ be supported in a compact set $K \subset X$ and let $\{\alpha_k\}_{i=1}^N$ be a partition of unity subordinated to a open cover of $K$ of local coordinate charts. We approximate $\phi e^{-D_0^* D_0} \psi$ by an operator in $\Psi_c^{-\infty}$ (with smooth and compactly supported Schwartz kernel) by inverting $\lambda I - D_0^* D_0$ "locally".

Let $p_k$ be the symbol (not the principal symbol) of $\alpha_i \phi(\lambda I - D_0^* D_0)^{-1} \psi$. Then $p_k$ has the asymptotic sum

$$p_k \sim \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{-j} \text{ on a local coordinate, i.e. } \operatorname{Op}(p - \sum_{j=2}^{m} a_{-j}) \in \Psi_c^{-m-1}, \ \forall m > 1, \tag{3.6}$$

where Op means the operator corresponding to the local symbol.

For any $l > 0$ and $n > 0$, choose a large enough $M$ and set the operator approximating $\alpha_i \phi(\lambda I - D_0^* D_0)^{-1} \psi$ to be

$$P_k(\lambda) = \operatorname{Op}(\sum_{j=2}^{M} a_{-j}), \tag{3.7}$$

in the sense that $P_k(\lambda)$ is analytic in $\lambda$ and for any fixed $u \in L^2(X,E)$,

$$\|(P_k(\lambda) - \alpha_i\phi(\lambda I - D_0^*D_0)^{-1}\psi)u\|_l \leq C(1+|\lambda|)^{-n}, \tag{3.8}$$

where the norm is the Soblev $l$-norm $\|\cdot\|_l$. The estimate (3.8) is made possible by the asymptotic sum (3.6). In fact, let $r(x,\xi)$ be the symbol of $R \doteq P_k(\lambda) - \alpha_i\phi(\lambda I - D_0^*D_0)^{-1}\psi$ which is in $S^{-M-1}$ and then the left hand side of (3.8) is $\|Ru\|_l = \int(1+|\xi|^2)^l|\widehat{Ru}(\xi)|\mathrm{d}\xi$, where $Ru(x) = \int e^{<x-y,\xi>}r(x,\xi)u(y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi$ can be controlled by the right hand side of (3.8) when $M >> 2l+2n$ because by definition of $r(x,\xi)$ there is a constant $C$ so that $|r(x,\xi)| < C(1+|\xi|)^{-M-1}$.

Set

$$E(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} E_k(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_L e^{-\lambda}P_k(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{3.9}$$

Then the following two observations prove that $\phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi$ is of trace class.

(1) The operator $E(\lambda)$ is a compactly supported operator with smooth Schwartz kernel.

*Proof of claim.* We need to show that the Schwartz kernel of $E_k(\lambda)$ is smooth. In view of (3.7) and (3.9), it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{Op}(a_j), j \leq -2$ has smooth kernel and $\int_L e^{-\lambda}\partial^\beta(\mathrm{Op}(a_j)u)\mathrm{d}\lambda$ is integrable for all $\beta$. This claim can be proved by the symbolic calculus ([12]). The crucial part in the argument. is that by the symbolic calculus, all $a_j, j \leq -2$ contain the factor $e^{-\sigma_2(D^*D)}$ and the fact that $e^{-t\sigma_2(D_0^*D_0)}$ is rapidly decreasing in $\xi$. ☐

(2) The function $(E(\lambda) - \phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi)u$ is in $H^l$ for any fixed $u \in L^2$.

*Proof of claim.* Using (3.8), and fixing a $u \in L^2(X,E)$

$$\begin{aligned}\|(E(\lambda) - \phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi)u\|_l &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_L e^{-\lambda}\|(P_k(\lambda) - \alpha_k\phi(D_0^*D_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}\psi)u\|_l\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &\leq C\int_L e^{-\lambda}(1+|\lambda|)^{-n}\mathrm{d}\lambda \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

☐

Note that $E(\lambda)$ depends on the number $M$, which is chosen based on $l,n$, and it has a compactly supported smooth kernel by the first claim and hence $E(\lambda)u \in C_c^\infty \subset H^l$. The second claim shows that $\phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi$ is in $H^l$. (When $n \to \infty$, there is a sequence of $E(\lambda) \in H^l$ approaching $\phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi$ in $\|\cdot\|_l$ norm.)

Let $l \to \infty$, then by the Sobolev Embedding Theorem $(\phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi)u$ is smooth for all $u \in L^2$. Therefore $\phi e^{-D_0^*D_0}\psi$ has a compactly supported smooth kernel and is a trace-class operator. ☐

*Proof of Corollary 3.5.* Let $Q = \int_0^t e^{-sD_0^*D_0}D_0^*\mathrm{d}s$, which is the parametrix of $D_0$ because

$$1 - QD_0 = e^{-tD_0^*D_0}, I - D_0Q = e^{-tD_0D_0^*}$$

which is of $G$-trace class by the lemma. The statement follows from Proposition 3.11. The independence of $t$ can be carried out by a modification of the second proof of [5] Theorem 3.50. □

## 4. THE LINK OF THE $L^2$-INDEX TO THE $K$-THEORETIC INDEX.

Let $f \in C_0(X)$ be identified with an operator on $L^2(X,E)$ by point-wise multiplication. Let $A \in \Psi^0_p(X;E,E)$ be elliptic in the sense of Definition 2.6. Using the Rellich lemma one may check that $A_0 : L^2(X,E_0) \to L^2(X,E_1)$ satisfies the following conditions: $(A_0A_0^* - I)f \in \mathscr{K}(L^2(X,E_1))$, $(A_0^*A_0 - I)f \in \mathscr{K}(L^2(X,E_0))$, $Af - fA \in \mathscr{K}(L^2(X,E))$ and $A_0 - g\cdot A_0 \in \mathscr{K}(L^2(X,E_1),L^2(X,E_2))$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $A$ represents an element in the $K$-homology group $K^0_G(C_0(X))$.

Topologically, the *$K$-theoretic index* of $[A] \in K^0_G(C_0(X))$, according to [13] is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Ind}_t A \doteq [p] \otimes_{C^*(G,C_0(X))} j^G([A]) \in K_0(C^*(G)),$$

which is the image of $[A]$ under the descent map

$$j^G : KK^G(\mathbb{C},C_0(X)) \to KK(C^*(G),C^*(G,C_0(X)))$$

composed with the intersection product with a projection $[p] \in KK(\mathbb{C},C^*(G,C_0(X)))$,

$$[p]\otimes_{C^*(G,C_0(X))} : KK(C^*(G,C_0(X)),C^*(G)) \to KK(\mathbb{C},C^*(G)).$$

Here $[p] \doteq [(c\cdot g(c))^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ is the projection represented as an element in $K_0(C^*(G,C_0(X)))$.

Analytically, the $K$-theoretic index of $A$ is constructed explicitly as follows [14]. First of all, embed $C_c(X,E)$ in a larger Hilbert $C^*(G)$-module $C^*(G,L^2(X,E))$ and after completion under the norm of the Hilbert module, we obtain a $C^*(G)$-module $\mathscr{E}$ containing $C_c(X,E)$ as a dense subalgebra. Note that $\mathscr{E}$ is a direct summand of $C^*(G,L^2(X,E))$ and is obtained by compressing the $C^*$-module $C^*(G,L^2(X,E))$ with the projection $p$.

Then the operator

$$\overline{A} \doteq \mathrm{Av}(cA) : C_c(X,E) \to C_c(X,E)$$

in $\Psi^0_{G,p}(X;E,E)$ extends to two bounded maps $\overline{A} : L^2(X,E) \to L^2(X,E)$ and $\overline{A} : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}$ with $\|\overline{A}\|_{\mathscr{E}} \leq \|\overline{A}\|_{L^2(X,E)}$. Denote by $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})$ the $C^*$-algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathscr{E}$ having an adjoint and being $C^*(G)$-module maps. Then $\overline{A} : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}$ defines an element in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})$ according to [15]. On the Hilbert $C^*(G)$ module $\mathscr{E}$, for $e, e_1, e_2 \in C_c(X,E)$, the "rank one" operator is defined by

$$\theta_{e_1,e_2}(e)(x) = e_1(e_2,e)(x) = \int_X \Big(\int_G \theta_{g(e_1)(x),g(e_2)(y)}\mathrm{d}g\Big)e(y)\mathrm{d}y, \forall x \in X.$$

The closure of the the linear combinations of the rank one operators under the norm of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})$ is the set of "compact operators" denoted by $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$. The elements of $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ can be identified with the integral operators with $G$-invariant continuous kernel and with proper support. The following proposition indicates some features of elements from $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E}), \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$.

**Proposition 4.1** ([15]). *If the symbol of the $G$-invariant properly supported operator $P$ of order* 0 *is bounded in the cotangent direction by a constant, then the norm of $P$ in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})/\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ does not exceed that constant. The operator $P$ is compact i.e. $P \in \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$, if the symbol of $P$ is* 0 *at infinity (in the cotangent direction).*

Since $\bar{A}$ is elliptic, which means that $\|\sigma_{\bar{A}}(x,\xi)^2-1\| \to 0$ as $\xi \to 0, x \in K$ uniformly for any compact set $K \subset X$, then according to Proposition 4.1 we have that $\bar{A}^2 - Id \in \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$. Let us set $\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{A_0}^* \\ \bar{A_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $[\bar{A_0}] \in K_1(\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})/\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E}))$. The analytical *K-theoretic index*, $\mathrm{Ind}_a A$, is image of this class in the $K$-theory of the quotient algebra under the boundary map $\partial : K_*(\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})/\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})) \to K_{*+1}(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E}))$ of the six term exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E}) \to \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E}) \to \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})/\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E}) \to 0$.

*Remark* 4.2. The $C^*(G)$-module $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C^*(G)$. Using the classical fact that Morita equivalence preserves $K$-theory we have $K_*(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})) \simeq K_*(C^*(G))$ and so $\mathrm{Ind}_a P \in K_0(C^*(G))$.

As a generalization of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, Kasparov proved that $\mathrm{Ind}_a$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_t$ coincide [13], [15]. We will simply use Ind to denote the $K$-theoretic index. In summary, the $K$-theoretic index under the homomorphism $\mathrm{Ind} : K^0_G(C_0(X)) \to KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G)) \simeq K_0(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E}))$ is calculated by

$$[(L^2(X,E),A)] \mapsto [(\mathscr{E},\bar{A})] \mapsto [\begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_0\bar{A}_0^* & \bar{A}_0\sqrt{1-\bar{A}_0^*\bar{A}_0} \\ \sqrt{1-\bar{A}_0^*\bar{A}_0}\,\bar{A}_0^* & 1-\bar{A}_0^*\bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix}] - [\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]. \tag{4.1}$$

Note that the second arrow is the Fredholm picture of $KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$ via boundary map.

Given the $K$-theoretic index $\mathrm{Ind}A \in K_0(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E}))$, we will define the a homomorphism $K_0(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})) \to \mathbb{R}$. To do this we find a dense subalgebra $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ of $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ on which a "trace" can be defined and which is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. Since $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ is generated by $G$-invariant operators with continuous and properly supported kernel, we define $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ to be the subset of the bounded $G$-invariant operators with smooth kernels. Let $S : C^\infty_c(X,E) \to C^\infty_c(X,E)$ be a $G$-invariant smoothing operators. Extend $S$ to an operator $\bar{S} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})$ and then $\bar{S} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$. Define the trace on $\bar{S} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ by $\mathrm{tr}_G(S)$ and still denote by $\mathrm{tr}_G$. The trace is well defined for all the elements of $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$. An element of $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ is viewed as matrices with $C^*(G)$-entries. The trace on such a matrix is the Breuer von Neumann trace [7] on the image of the following map $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E}) \mapsto \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E} \otimes_{C^*(G)} \mathscr{R}(G)) \subset \mathscr{R}(L^2(X,E))$. Here $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E} \otimes_{C^*(G)} \mathscr{R}(G))$ is a subset of all $G$-trace class operators. Recall that $\mathrm{tr}_G$ is defined on a dense subset of the $G$-invariant operators on $L^2(X,E)$,which can be represented as elements of

$$\mathscr{R}(L^2(G)) \otimes (\oplus_{i,j} \mathscr{B}(L^2(U_i,E), L^2(U_j,E)),$$

and an element of this set can be expressed in terms of a $\mathscr{R}(L^2(G))$-valued matrix.

**Proposition 4.3.**
- *We have a canonical isomorphism* $K_0(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})) \simeq K_0(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E}))$.
- *The G-trace* $\mathrm{tr}_G$ *on* $\mathscr{S}$ *defines a group homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{G*} : K_0(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Proposition 3.4 part 4 shows that $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})$. Let $S \in \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ and for any holomorphic function $f$ defined on the spectrum of $S$ with $f(0) = 0$, there is another holomorphic function $g$ so that $f(z) = zg(z)$. We require $f(0) = 0$ because $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ does not have an identity. Since the spectrum of $S$ is bounded and $g$ is continuous which implies that $g$ is a bounded function, i.e. $g(S) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})$, $f(S) = Sg(S)$, then $f(S) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$. Hence

$\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})$ closed under holomorphic functional calculus. Therefore $K_*(\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{E})) = K_*(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E}))$.

An element of $K_0(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E}))$ is represented by projection matrix with entries in $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$, on which there is a natural trace consisting of the composition of the matrix trace with $\tau$ on $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})$. Note that if the element was represented by the difference of two classes of matrices with entries in $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})^+$, the algebra defined by adding a unit, then we define the trace of this extra unit to be 0. Hence we obtain a homomorphism $\mathrm{tr}_{G*} : K_*(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E})) \to \mathbb{R}$ by the properties of the trace $\tau$. □

Composing with the $K$-theoretic index, $P$ has a numerical index given by the image of the map

$$K^0_G(C_0(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{K-theoretic index}} K_0(\mathscr{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{G*}} \mathbb{R}$$

and this number depends only on the symbol class and the manifold according to Kasparov's $K$-theoretic index formula. We show that this number is in fact the $L^2$-index.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let $P \in \Psi^0_{G,p}(X;E,E)$ be elliptic, then its $L^2$-index coincides with the trace of its $K$-theoretic index, i.e.* $\mathrm{ind}P = \mathrm{tr}_{G*}(\mathrm{Ind}[P])$.

*Proof.* Let $P = A$ and then $P = \bar{A} = \mathrm{Av}(cA)$ in 4.1. Then

$$\mathrm{Ind}P = [\begin{pmatrix} P_0P_0^* & P_0\sqrt{1-P_0^*P_0} \\ \sqrt{1-P_0^*P_0}P_0^* & 1-P_0^*P_0 \end{pmatrix}] - [\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}].$$

We shall alter the matrix representatives without changing the equivalence class, so that we may apply $\mathrm{tr}_G$ to the $2 \times 2$-matrices.

Given $P_0 \in \Psi^0_{G,p}(X;E_0,E_1)$ and using Proposition 2.7, there is a $Q \in \Psi^0_{G,p}$ so that $1 - QP = S_0, 1 - PQ = S_1$. According to the boundary map construction in [9] section 2, we lift $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Q \\ P & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ which is invertible in $M_2(\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E})/\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{E}))$ to an invertible element $u = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 & -(1+S_0)Q \\ P & S_1 \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{E}))$ and then

$$\mathrm{Ind}P \doteq [u\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}u^{-1}] - [\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}] = [\begin{pmatrix} S_0^2 & S_0(1+S_0)Q \\ P_0S_1 & 1-S_1^2 \end{pmatrix}] - [\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}].$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{tr}_{G*}(\mathrm{Ind}P) = \mathrm{tr}_G(S_0^2) + \mathrm{tr}_G(1-S_1^2) - \tau(1) = \mathrm{tr}_G(S_0^2) - \mathrm{tr}_G(S_1^2)$. Choose another $Q' \doteq 2Q - QPQ$, then $1 - Q'P_0 = S_0^2, 1 - PQ' = S_1^2$ with $S_0^2, S_1^2$ being smoothing operators. Then using Proposition , we conclude that $\mathrm{tr}_G(S_0^2) - \mathrm{tr}_G(S_1^2) = \mathrm{ind}P$. Hence $\mathrm{tr}_{G*}(\mathrm{Ind}P) = \mathrm{ind}P$. □

*Remark* 4.5. Let $X = G/H$ be a homogeneous space of a unimodular Lie group $G$ (where $H$ is a compact subgroup). In [8] section 3, it was shown directly that the $L^2$-index depends only on the symbol class $[\sigma_P]$ of $P$ in $K_0^G(C_0(TX))$. Plus, there exists a homomorphism $i : K_0^G(C_0(TX)) \to \mathbb{R}$ so that $i[\sigma_P] = \mathrm{ind}P$.

Note that the Poincaré duality between K-homology and K-theory gives $K_0^G(C_0(TX)) \simeq K^0_G(C_0(X))$. So $L^2$-index essentially gives a homomorphism:

$$\mathrm{ind} : K^0_G(C_0(X)) \to \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.2}$$

But the $L^2$-index is not well-defined for all the possible representing cycles of a $K$-homology class.

*Remark* 4.6. In this section we work on the cycles in $K_G^0(C_0(X))$ as elliptic pseudo-differential operators on $X$. Let $Y$ be another proper cocompact $G$-manifold and $E$ be a $G$-bundle where $L^2(Y,E)$ admits a $C_0(X)$-representation. And if we have $[(L^2(Y,E),Q)] \in K_G^0(C_0(X))$ with $Q \in \Psi^0_{G,p}(Y;E,E)$, we may carry out similar constructions to those in this section easily.

## 5. REDUCTION TO THE $L^2$-INDEX OF A DIRAC TYPE OPERATOR.

We show in this section that for any elliptic operator $P \in \Psi^0_{G,p}(X;E,E)$, there is a Dirac type operator $D$ satisfying $\operatorname{ind} P = \operatorname{ind} D$. We will do this by showing that $P$ and $D$ have the same $K$-theoretic index and then applying Proposition 4.4.

**Theorem 5.1.** [13][15] *Let $X$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $G$ be a locally compact group acting on $X$ properly and isometrically. Let $P$ be a $G$-invariant elliptic operator on $X$ of order* 0*. Then*

$$[P] = [\sigma_P] \otimes_{C_0(T^*X)} [D] \in K^*_G(C_0(X)), \tag{5.1}$$

*where $[D]$ is the class defined by the Dolbeault operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ on $T^*X$.*

*Remark* 5.2. This theorem says that $[P]$ is given by the index pairing of the symbol with some fundamental operator (Dolbeult) on $T^*X$. This is the essence of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. When $X$ is compact with trivial group action, apply the map

$$c^* : K^0(C(X)) \to K^0(\mathbb{C})$$

induced by the constant map $c$ to both sides of (5.1). The left hand side of (5.1) is then the Fredholm index of $P$ and the right hand side is the intersection product of $[\sigma_P] \in K_0(TX)$ with $[D] \in K^0(TX)$. When $[\sigma_P]$ is viewed as the class of vector bundle $V$, the intersection product is well known to be the Fredholm index of the Dirac operator $D$ with coefficients in $V$. The following $K$-theoretic index formula is an important corollary to Theorem 5.1.

**Theorem 5.3.** [13] *Let $X$ be a complete Riemannian manifold, on which a locally compact group $G$ acts properly and isometrically with compact quotient. Let $P$ be a properly supported $G$-invariant elliptic operator on $X$ of order* 0*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ind} P &= [p] \otimes_{C^*(G,C_0(X))} j^G([P]) \\ &= [p] \otimes_{C^*(G,C_0(X))} j^G([\sigma_P]) \otimes_{C^*(G,C_0(T^*X))} j^G([D]) \in K_*(C^*(G)). \end{aligned}$$

*Where $[p]$ is the projection in $C^*(G,L^2(X,E))$ defined by $[p] = (c \cdot g(c))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $[D]$ is the Dolbeault element.*

In the line (5.1), the symbol $\sigma_P$ defines an element in $KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(TX))$ using ellipticity of $P \in \Psi^0_{G,p}(X;E,E)$ (Definition 2.6). In fact, consider $C_0(TX, \pi^*E)$, where $\pi : T^*X \to X$, as a Hilbert module over $C_0(TX)$ using the Hermitian structure on $E$, and the set of "compact operators" is $C_0(TX, \operatorname{End}(\pi^*E))$. Also $C_0(X)$ acts on $C_0(\pi^*E_0 \oplus \pi^*E_1)$ by pointwise multiplication. Hence for all $f \in C_0(X)$, $(\sigma_P^2 - I)f$ is compact by (2.8) and $[\sigma_P, f] = 0$. Therefore the symbol $\sigma_P : \pi^*(E_0) \to \pi^*(E_1)$ defines the following element in $KK$-theory:

$$[(C_0(TX, \pi^*E_0 \oplus \pi^*E_1), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{P_0}^* \\ \sigma_{P_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix})] \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(T^*X)).$$

Based on the symbol $\sigma_P$ we define a $G$-bundle $V(\sigma_P)$ ([3] section 7). Let $B(X) \subset TX$ be the ball bundle with sphere bundle $S(X) \subset TX$ as its boundary. A new manifold $\Sigma X$ is obtained by gluing two copies of $B(X)$ along their boundaries:

$$\Sigma X = B(X) \cup_{S(X)} B(X). \tag{5.2}$$

The action of $G$ on $TX$ extends naturally to $\Sigma X$ because $G$ acts on $X$ isometrically. And a $G$-vector bundle over $\Sigma X$ is built out of $\sigma_P$ as follows. The ellipticity of $P$ implies the invertibility of the symbol restricted to $S(X)$: $\sigma_P|_{S(X)}$. Define a $G$-vector bundle over $\Sigma(X)$ by gluing the $\sigma_P$ on the boundary, i.e.,

$$V(\sigma_P) = \pi^* E_0|_{B(X)} \cup_{\sigma_P|_{S(X)}} \pi^* E_1|_{B(X)}.$$

Here $V(\sigma_P)$ defines an element in the representable $KK$-theory $RKK_G^0(X; C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X))$.

*Remark* 5.4. When $X$ is compact and when $G$ is trivial, the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \to C(X)$ further reduces $\sigma_P$ to an element of $KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(TX))$ by "forgetting" the action of $C(X)$ on the Hilbert-$C(X)$ module $C_0(TX)$. Therefore, $\sigma_P \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(TX))) \simeq K_0(C_0(TX))$ maps to a vector bundle, trivial at infinity in $TX$. The bundle is constructed by gluing $\pi^*E|_{B(X)}$ and $\pi^*E|_{TX-B(X)^\circ}$ along the boundary using the invertibility of $\sigma_P|_{S(X)}$ and is the restriction of $V(\sigma_P)$ to $TX$.

**Proposition 5.5.** *The homomorphism*

$$\begin{gathered} KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(TX)) \to KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X)) \\ [(C_0(TX, \pi^*E), \sigma_P)] \mapsto [(C_0(\Sigma X, V(\sigma_P)), 0)] \end{gathered}$$

*is induced by the inclusion map $i : C_0(TX) \to C_0(\Sigma X)$.*

*Proof.* The pre-image of $[(V(\sigma_P), 0)]$ under $i_*$ in $KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(TX))$ is $[(V(\sigma_P)|_{TX}, 0)]$. We construct a projection out of $M_1 \doteq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{P_0}^* \\ \sigma_{P_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as follows,

$$Q = [\frac{1}{1+\sigma_{P_0}\sigma_{P_0}^*} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{P_0}\sigma_{P_0}^* & \sigma_{P_0}^* \\ \sigma_{P_0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}] - [\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &[(C_0(TX, \pi^*E_0 \oplus \pi^*E_1), M_1)] = [(C_0(TX, \pi^*E_0 \oplus \pi^*E_1), Q)] \\ =&[(QC_0(TX, \pi^*E_0 \oplus \pi^*E_1), 1)] + [((1-Q)C_0(TX, \pi^*E_0 \oplus \pi^*E_1), 0)] \\ =&[(C_0(TX, V(\sigma_P)|_{TX}), 0)] \end{aligned}$$

□

In (5.1) the Dolbeault operator $D$ is a first order differential operator $D = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)$ acting on smooth sections of $\Lambda^*(T^{0,1}X)$. Let $H$ be the Hilbert space of $L^2$-forms of bi-degree $(0,*)$ on $T^*X$ graded by the odd and even degree forms. Then $D$ is an essentially self adjoint operator on $H$ of degree 1. The $C^*$-algebra $C_0(T^*X)$ acts on $H$ by point-wise multiplication. *The Dolbeault element* is

$$[(H, \frac{D}{\sqrt{1+D^2}})] \in K_G^0(C_0(T^*X)) = KK^G(C_0(T^*X), \mathbb{C}).$$

The Dolbeault operator on $T^*X$ extends to the proper cocompact $G$-manifold $\Sigma X$ which has an almost complex structure. We just glue two Dolbeault operators on $B(X) \subset TX$

along the boundary (the normal directions of $S(X)$ in $B(X)$ need to switch signs on different pieces). The new Dolbeault operator $[\bar{D}]$ is clearly $G$-invariant and defines an element in $KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X),\mathbb{C})$. (In section 6 we simply denote $[\bar{D}]$ by $[D]$.) Obviously $i: C_0(TX) \to C_0(\Sigma X)$ induces the natural map

$$i^*: KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X),\mathbb{C}) \to KK^G(C_0(TX),\mathbb{C}) : [\bar{D}] \mapsto [D]. \tag{5.3}$$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Suppose we have the same conditions in the $K$-homological formula Theorem 5.1. Then*

1. *The elliptic operator $[P]$ as a $K$-homology element coincides with the intersection product in $[V(\sigma_P)] \otimes [\bar{D}]$ in*
   $KK^G(C_0(X),C_0(\Sigma X)) \times KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X),\mathbb{C}) \to KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C})$.
2. *The elliptic operator $P$ relates to a Dirac operator in the following sense:*

$$[P] = j^*[\bar{D}_{V(\sigma_P)}] \tag{5.4}$$

*where $j^*: KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X),\mathbb{C}) \to KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C})$ is induced by the inclusion $j: C_0(X) \to C_0(\Sigma X)$.*

*Proof.* The first statement is a result of functorality of the intersection product

$$[P] = [\sigma_P] \otimes_{C_0(TX)} [D] = [\sigma_P] \otimes_{C_0(TX)} i^*[\bar{D}] = i_*[\sigma_P] \otimes_{C_0(\Sigma X)} [\bar{D}] = [V(\sigma_P)] \otimes_{C_0(\Sigma X)} [\bar{D}].$$

To prove the second statement, we calculate

$$[V(\sigma_P)] \otimes_{C_0(\Sigma X)} [\bar{D}] = [(C_0(\Sigma X, V(\sigma_P)), \phi_1, 0)] \otimes_{C_0(\Sigma X)} [(L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X)), \phi_2, F)],$$

by which we denote $[(H,\eta,G)]$, i.e. $H = C_0(\Sigma X, V(\sigma_P)) \otimes_{C_0(\Sigma X)} L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X))$ and $F = \frac{\bar{D}}{\sqrt{1+\bar{D}^2}}$. The operator $G$ needs to satisfy the following two conditions [23].

1. $G$ is an $F$-connection;
2. $G$ has the property $\eta(a)[0\otimes 1, G]\eta(a) \geq 0$ modulo $\mathscr{K}(H)$.

By Kasparov's stabilization theorem, there is a $C_0(\Sigma X)$-valued projection $Q$ such that $C_0(\Sigma X, V(\sigma_A)) = Q(\oplus_1^\infty C_0(\Sigma X))$. Therefore,

$$H = Q(\oplus_1^\infty C_0(\Sigma X)) \otimes_{C_0(\Sigma X)} L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X)) = \phi_2(Q)(\oplus_1^\infty L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X))).$$

We claim that

$$G = \phi_2(Q)(\oplus_1^\infty F)\phi_2(Q) \tag{5.5}$$

The statement is proved if the claim is true. In fact, one needs only to observe that $H = \phi_2(Q)(\oplus_1^\infty L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X))) = L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X) \otimes V(\sigma_P))$ and

$$\phi_2(Q)(\oplus_1^\infty \bar{D})\phi_2(Q) = \bar{D}_{V(\sigma_P)} \text{ on } H.$$

To prove the claim (5.5), it is sufficient to show the following observations.

- $(G^2-1)\eta(f) \in \mathscr{K}(H), f \in C_0(X)$;
- $[G, \eta(f)] \in \mathscr{K}(H), f \in C_0(X)$;
- $[\tilde{T}_\xi, F \oplus G] \in \mathscr{K}(L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X)) \oplus H), \forall \xi \in C_0(\Sigma X, V(\sigma_A))$, where

$$\tilde{T}_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_\xi^* \\ T_\xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{B}(L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X)) \oplus H), T_\xi \in \mathscr{B}(L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X)), H)$$

  is defined by $T_\xi(\eta) = \xi \hat{\otimes} \eta \in H$.

□

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let $P$ be a properly supported $G$-invariant elliptic operator of order* $0$*, $D$ be the Dolbealt operator on $\Sigma X$ and $V(\sigma_P)$ is the $G$-vector bundle over $\Sigma X$ built using $\sigma_P$. Then*

$$\operatorname{ind} P = \operatorname{ind} D_{V(\sigma_P)} \tag{5.6}$$

*Proof.* Notice that in the proof of the Corollary 5.6, $[(L^2(\Sigma X, \Lambda^*(\Sigma X)\otimes V(\sigma_P)), \bar{D}_{V(\sigma_P)})]$ represents the operator in the intersection product $[V(\sigma_P)]\otimes[\bar{D}]$, which is the same as $[(L^2(X,E),P)]$ as an element in $K^0_G(C_0(X))$. This implies that $\operatorname{Ind} P = \operatorname{Ind} D_{V(\sigma_P)}$ and the statement is proved by taking the trace of the $K$-theoretic index. □

## 6. LOCAL INDEX FORMULA.

6.1. **$L^2$-index of Dirac type operators.** Using Proposition 5.7, to find a cohomological formula for the $L^2$-index of $P$, it is sufficient to figure out a formula for Dirac type operators. Let $M$ be an even-dimensional ($\dim M = n$) proper cocompact $G$-manifold with a $G$-Clifford bundle $V$, which is a $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM)$-module via Clifford multiplication. Here $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM) = \mathrm{Cl}(TM)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ is the complex Clifford algebra generated by $TM$. We construct $\mathscr{D}$, a Dirac type operator acting on sections in $V$. Let $\nabla$ be the $G$-invariant Levi-Civita connection on $TM$ , which can be extended to $\mathrm{Cl}(TM)$. Let $\nabla^V$ be the $G$-invariant Clifford connection on $V$, i.e. $[\nabla^V, c(a)] = c(\nabla a), a \in C^\infty_c(M, \mathrm{Cl}(TM))$. A Dirac operator $\mathscr{D}: C^\infty_c(M,V)\to C^\infty_c(M,V)$ is defined under the composition of the connection $\nabla^V$ and the Clifford multiplication $\mathfrak{c}: C^\infty_c(M, T^*M\times V)\to C^\infty_c(M,V)$ by

$$\mathscr{D} = \sum_i \mathfrak{c}(e^i)\nabla^V_{e_i},$$

where $\{e_i\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of the bundle $TM$ and $e^i$s are the dual basis of $T^*M$. Here we assume $V = V_0\oplus V_1$ to be $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded and $\mathscr{D}$ to be odd and to be essentially self adjoint, in particular, $\mathscr{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathscr{D}_0^* \\ \mathscr{D}_0 & 0\end{pmatrix} : L^2(M,V)\to L^2(M,V)$. The $L^2$-index of $\mathscr{D}$ is expressed by the McKean-Singer formula (3.5) which is independent of $t$:

$$\operatorname{ind}\mathscr{D} = \operatorname{str}_G(e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}), \tag{6.1}$$

where $\operatorname{str}_G(\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}) = \operatorname{tr}_G(a) - \operatorname{tr}_G(d)$ and $\mathscr{D}^2 = \begin{pmatrix}\mathscr{D}_0^*\mathscr{D}_0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathscr{D}_0\mathscr{D}_0^*\end{pmatrix}$.

Let $R^V = (\nabla^V)^2 \in \Lambda^2(M, \operatorname{End} V)$ be the curvature tensor of the connection $\nabla^V$, then

$$\mathscr{D}^2 = -\sum_i (\nabla^V_{e_i})^2 + \sum_i \nabla^V_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i} + \sum_{i<j}\mathfrak{c}(e^i)\mathfrak{c}(e^j)R^V(e_i,e_j) \doteq \Delta^V + \sum_{i<j}\mathfrak{c}(e^i)\mathfrak{c}(e^j)R^V(e_i,e_j)$$

is a generalized Laplacian. Let $S$ be the spinor (irreducible) representation of $\mathrm{Cl}(T_xM)$. It is a standard fact that $\operatorname{End} S = S\otimes S^* = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$. The fiber of the Clifford module $V$ at $x$ has the decomposition $V_x = S\otimes W$. Here $W$ is the set of vectors in $V_x$ that commute with the action of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$. Therefore on the endomorphism level we have

$$\operatorname{End} V_x = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)\otimes \operatorname{End} W. \tag{6.2}$$

Here $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)}(V_x) \doteq \operatorname{End} W$ is made of the transformations of $V_x$ that commute with $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$. According to [5] Proposition 3.43, the curvature $R^V$ of a Clifford connection $\nabla^V$ on $V$ decomposes under the isomorphism (6.2) as

$$R^V = R^S + F^{V/S} \tag{6.3}$$

where $R^S(e_i,e_j)=\frac{1}{4}\sum_{kl}(R(e_i,e_j)e_k,e_l)c^kc^l$ is the action of the Riemannian curvature $R=\nabla^2$ of $M$ on the bundle $V$ and $F^{V/S}\in\Lambda^2(M,\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}V)$ is the twisting curvature of $V$. According to the Lichnerowicz Formula, [5] Proposition 3.52, the generalized Laplacian is calculated by:

$$\mathscr{D}^2=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla^V_{e_i})^2+\sum_i\nabla^V_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}+\frac{1}{4}r_M+\sum_{i<j}F^{V/S}(e_i,e_j)\mathfrak{c}(e_i)\mathfrak{c}(e_j), \tag{6.4}$$

where $e_i$ is a local orthonormal frame of $TM\simeq T^*M$ and $F^{V/S}(e_i,e_j)\in\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}V$ are the coefficients of the twisting curvature of the Clifford connection $\nabla^V$.

Having $\mathscr{D}^2$ we consider the heat equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x)+\mathscr{D}^2u(t,x)=0, u(x,0)=f(x)$ which has $(e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}f)(x)$ as its solution. Denote by $k_t(x,y)$ *the heat kernel*, i.e. the Schwartz kernel of $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}$ and $k_t$ is a smooth map $M\times M\to\mathrm{Hom}(V,V)$ satisfying $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}f(x)=\int_M k_t(x,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y$. Hence

$$\mathrm{ind}\,\mathscr{D}=\int_M c(x)\,\mathrm{str}\,k_t(x,x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

We have the following properties of the heat kernel.

**Lemma 6.1.** (1) *For $f(x)\in L^2(M)$, $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}f$ is a smooth section;*

(2) *The kernel $k_t(x,y)$ of $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}$ tends to the $\delta$ function weakly, i.e. $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}s(x)=\int_M k_t(x,x_0)s(x_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\to s(x)$ uniformly on a compact set in $M$ as $t\to 0$.*

*Proof.* We have proved that the Schwartz kernel of $ce^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}$ is smooth in Lemma 3.13. So

$$(e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}f)(x)=\int_{G\times M}c(g^{-1}x)k_t(x,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}g\doteq\int_G h_g(x)\mathrm{d}g,$$

where $h_g(x)=\int_M c(g^{-1}x)k_t(x,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y$ is smooth in $x\in M$ for fixed $g\in G$. Using the fact that $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}$ is a bounded operator and that $c(x)$ is smooth and compactly supported, we conclude that $h_g(x)$ depends smoothly on $g\in G$ . Let $K$ be any compact neighborhood of $x$, then by the properness of the group action, the set

$$Z\doteq\{g\in G|c(g^{-1}x)\neq 0,x\in K,g\in G\}$$

is compact and then $(e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}f)(x)=\int_Z h_g(x)\mathrm{d}g$ is smooth for $x\in K$. Therefore the first statement is proved.

To prove the second one, let $u$ be a smooth function with norm 1. Then $<e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}u,u>=\int_{\lambda\in\mathrm{sp}(\mathscr{D})}e^{-t\lambda^2}\mathrm{d}P_{u,u}$ (sp means spectrum). Since the set of integrals for $0<t\leq 1$ is bounded by 1, then by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$<e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}u,u>\to\int_{\lambda\in\mathrm{sp}(\mathscr{D})}1\mathrm{d}P_{u,u}=<u,u>\ \text{ as } t\to 0.$$

□

The heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of $u_t - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_i} = 0$, which is

$$p_t(x,y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}, \tag{6.5}$$

suggests a first approximation for the heat kernel on $M$. The small time behavior of the heat kernel $k_t(x,y)$ for $x$ near $y$ depends on the local geometry of $x$ near $y$. This is made precise by the *asymptotic expansion* for $k_t(x,y)$.

**Definition 6.2** ([20])**.** Let $B$ be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to B : t \mapsto f(t)$ be a function. A formal series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(t)$ with $a_k(t) \in E$ is called *an asymptotic expansion* for $f$, denoted by $f(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_k(t)$, if for any $m > 0$, there are $M_m$ and $\varepsilon_m > 0$. So that for all $l \geq M_m, t \in (0, \varepsilon_m]$, we have

$$\| f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{l} a_k(t) \| \leq C t^m.$$

When $M$ is compact and when $B = C^0(M, \mathrm{End}(V,V))$ has $C^0$-norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x\in M} |f(x)|$, it is the standard fact that the heat kernel $k_t(x,x)$ of $e^{-t\mathscr{D}^2}$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$k_t(x,x) \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_j(x)$$

where $a_j(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_x, X_x), x \in M$ are smooth sections ([20] Theorem 7.15). In the case of non-compact $M$ having group action, this theorem can be modified as follows.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let $M$ be a proper cocompact Riemannian G-manifold and $\mathscr{D}$ be an equivariant Dirac type operator acting on the sections of a Clifford bundle $V$, and $k_t$ be the heat kernel of $\mathscr{D}$. There is an asymptotic expansion for $c(x)k_t(x,x)$ under the $C^0$-norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x\in M} |f(x)|$ :*

$$c(x)k_t(x,x) \sim c(x) \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_j(x) \tag{6.6}$$

*where $a_j \in C^\infty(M, \mathrm{End}V)$ and $a_j(x)$ depends only on the the geometry at $x$ (involving metrics, connection coefficients and their derivatives). In particular $a_0(x) = 1$. The asymptotic expansion works for any $C^l$-norm for $l \geq 0$. (We only need and prove the case when $l = 0$.)*

To prove Theorem 6.3 we study the heat kernel $k_t(x,y)$ by constructing an "approximate heat kernel". The proof is a modification of the case of operators on compact manifold ([20] Theorem 7.15 or [5] Chapter 2). Now $k_t(x,y)$ satisfies the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} k_t(x,y) + \mathscr{D}^2 k_t(x,y) = 0, k_0(x,y) = \delta_y(x) \tag{6.7}$$

where $\mathscr{D}$ operates on the $x$-coordinate only. We fix $y$ and denote it by $x_0$ and solve this equation locally on a coordinate neighborhood $O_{x_0}$ of $x_0$ with $x \in O_{x_0}$. We approximate the heat kernel $k_t(x,x_0), x \in O_{x_0}$ locally by looking for a formal solution

$$p_t(x,x_0) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \alpha_i(x) \tag{6.8}$$

to the equation (6.7), where $p_t(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}$ $(r = |\mathbf{x}| = d(x,x_0))$ is the heat kernel on Euclidean space (6.5). Denote by $s_t(x,x_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \alpha_i(x)$ in (6.8) and so the heat kernel is written as

$$k_t(x,x_0) = p_t(x,x_0) s_t(x,x_0). \tag{6.9}$$

According to [20] equation 7.16, $\mathscr{D}^2$ in (6.4) on $O_{x_0}$ is calculated by

$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{D}^2](p_t s_t) = p_t[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{D}^2 + \frac{r}{4gt}\frac{\partial g}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{t}\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}] s_t. \tag{6.10}$$

when operating on (6.9), where $r = |\mathbf{x}|$, $g = \det(g_{ij})$ and $(g_{ij})$ is the Riemannian metric on $M$. To find the formal solution (6.8), set the right hand side of (6.10) to be 0. Then the vanishing of the coefficients for $t^i$s enables us to find $\alpha_i$ inductively via [20] equation 7.17:

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}(r^i g^{\frac{1}{4}} \alpha_j(x)) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ -r^{i-1} g^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathscr{D}^2 \alpha_{i-1}(x) & i > 0 \end{cases} \tag{6.11}$$

(1) (Solve $\alpha_0(x)$) It is trivial to see that $p_t(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \to \delta_{x_0}(x)$ uniformly as $t \to 0+$. From Lemma 6.1, $k_t(x,x_0) \to \delta_{x_0}(x)$ uniformly as $t \to 0+$ for all $x \in K$, where $K \subset X$ is any compact subset. Therefore $\alpha_0(x_0) = 1$ is a necessary assumption. The first line in (6.11) indicates that $g^{\frac{1}{4}}\alpha_0(x) = g(x_0)^{\frac{1}{4}}\alpha_0(x_0) = 1$, and then $\alpha_0(x) = g^{-\frac{1}{4}}(x)$ is determined by $\alpha_0(x_0)$.

(2) (Solve $\alpha_i(x), i > 0$) Inductively the smoothness of $\alpha_i$ implies the uniqueness of the smooth solution $\alpha_{i+1}$. In fact, when solving the equation in (6.11), the constant term has to be 0 otherwise $\alpha_{i+1}$ is not smooth at $r = 0$. Then $\alpha_{i+1}$ is smooth except that it may blow up at 0. But by setting $r = 0$ in the second line in (6.11) we have $\alpha_{i+1}(x_0) = -\frac{1}{j}(\mathscr{D}^2\alpha_i)(x_0)$ which makes sense if $\alpha_i$ is smooth. Therefore, there exists a sequence of smooth sections $\{\alpha_i(x)\}$ in $\mathrm{End}(V_{x_0}, V_x)$ uniquely determined by $\alpha_0(x_0) = 1$.

Note that $\alpha_i$s are defined on a coordinate neighborhood $O_{x_0}$ and depend smoothly on the local geometry around $x_0$. For example, $\alpha_1(x) = \frac{1}{6}k(x) - K(x)$, where $k$ is scalar curvature and $K$ satisfies $\mathscr{D}^2 = \Delta + K$.

Denote $\alpha_i(x)$ by $\alpha_i(x,x_0), x \in O_{x_0}$. Now for any $x_0 \doteq y \in M$, we obtain a formal solution $\alpha_i(x,y)$ which smoothly depends on both $x$ and $y$ for $x \in O_y$. Choose $O' \subset M \times M$ such that $\{(x,x) | x \in M\} \subset O' \subset \cup_{y \in M} O_y$ and choose

$$\phi(x,y) \in C^\infty(M \times M) \text{ so that } \phi(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x,y) \in O' \\ 0 & (x,y) \notin \cup_{y \in M} O_y \end{cases}.$$

This definition is based on a cutoff function used to define the approximate heat kernel in [5] Definition 2.28.

**Definition 6.4.** Let (6.9) be the true heat kernel. Define the approximate heat kernel

$$h_t^n(x,y) = p_t(x,y) \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^i a_i(x,y), \tag{6.12}$$

where $a_i(x,y) = \phi(x,y)\alpha_i(x,y) \in C^\infty(M\times M)$ and supported in a neighborhood of the diagonal.

With the previous set up we may state the following lemma, which implies Theorem 6.3 when setting $x = y$.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let $k_t(x,y)$ be the heat kernel and $h^n_t(x,y)$ be the one in (6.12). Let $c \in C^\infty_c(M)$ be a cutoff function of the proper cocompact $G$-manifold $M$. Choose $\overline{c} \in C^\infty_c(M)$ satisfying $c(x)\overline{c}(x) = c(x), x \in M$. For all $m > 0$, there is a $N_m$, so that for all $l > N_m$ and $t \in (0,1]$,*

$$\|c(x)h^l_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y) - c(x)k_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\| < Ct^m \tag{6.13}$$

*where $\|f\| = \sup_{x,y\in M}|f(x,y)|$.*

*Proof.* For all $m$, let $N_m > \max\{n+1, m+\frac{n}{2}\}$, where $n = \dim M$. By definition $h^{N_m}_t(x,y)$ approximately satisfies the heat equation in the sense that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{D}^2)h^{N_m} = t^{N_m} p_t(x,y)\mathscr{D}^2 a_{N_m}(x,y) + O(t^\infty) \doteq r_t(x,y), \tag{6.14}$$

where the first term in (6.14) comes from the calculation of the formal solution. In fact, using (6.10), (6.11), we have $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{D}^2)[p_t(x,y)\sum_{j=0}^{N_m} t^j\alpha_j(x,y)] = t^{N_m}p_t(x,y)\mathscr{D}^2\alpha_{N_m}(x,y)$. What remains $O(t^\infty)$ is of order $t^\infty$, because this term contains the derivatives of $\phi$, which are of 0-value for $x$ near $y$, and $p_t(x,y), (x \neq y)$, which decreases faster than any positive power $t^k$ as $t \to 0+$. $r_t(x,y)$ has the following properties:

(1) The remainder $r_t(x,y)$ is smooth for any fixed $t > 0$. This is because $p_t(x,y)$ in (6.5) and $a_i(x,y)$s in Definition 6.4 are smooth functions, for all $t > 0$.

(2) Denote the $k$th Sobolev norm on $C^m(M\times M)$ by $\|\cdot\|_k$. Then for all fixed $t > 0$ and for all $k$: $\|c(x)r_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\|_k$ exists. This is because $c(x)r_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y)$ is smooth and compactly supported on $M\times M$.

(3) We have the estimate

$$\|c(x)r_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} < Ct^m$$

uniformly for all $t \in (0,1]$. In fact, in the first term $c(x)t^{N_m}p_t(x,y)(\mathscr{D}^2 a_{N_m}(x,y))\overline{c}(y)$ of $c(x)r_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y)$, only $t^{N_m}p_t(x,y)$ depends on $t$, it is sufficient to know the order of $t$ in the $k$th derivative (in $x$ or $y$) of $t^{N_m}p_t(x,y)$, where $k \leq \frac{n}{2}+1$ and the order is: $t^{N_m}t^{-\frac{n}{2}}t^{-k} = t^{N_m-\frac{n}{2}-k}$. So

$$\|c(x)t^{N_m}p_t(x,y)(\mathscr{D}^2 a_{N_m}(x,y))\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}+1} c_k t^{N_m-\frac{n}{2}-k}.$$

Since $N_m > n+1$, there are no terms of non-positive order in $t$ on the right hand side. In addition, since $N_m > \frac{n}{2}+m$, then for all $t \in (0,1]$ , there is a constant $C$ so that

$$\|c(x)t^{N_m}p_t(x,y)(\mathscr{D}^2 a_{N_m}(x,y))\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \leq C_1 t^{N_m-\frac{n}{2}} \leq C_1 t^m.$$

The derivatives of $c(x)O(t^\infty)\overline{c}(y)$ do not have any terms containing negative power of $t$ so $\|c(x)O(t^\infty)\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} < C_2 t^m$ for all $t \in (0,1]$. So item (3) is proved.

Next, we use $r_t(x,y)$ to relate $k_t(x,y)$ and $h^{N_m}_t(x,y)$ in the following claim:

**Claim:** There is a unique smooth solution for the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{D}^2)u_t(x,y) = r_t(x,y) \\ u_0(x,y) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{6.15}$$

Here, $u_t(x,y)$ is regard as a function of $t$ and $x$.

In fact, It is trivial to check that $u_1 = \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathscr{D}^2} r_\tau(x,x_0)\mathrm{d}\tau$ is smooth and satisfies the equation. If $u_2$ is another smooth solution, then $u = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{D}^2)u = 0, u_0 = u(t=0) = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|u\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} < u,u > = - < u, \mathscr{D}^2 u > - < \mathscr{D}^2 u, u > = -2\|\mathscr{D}u\|_{L^2}^2$$

implies that $\|u\|^2$ is non-decreasing in $t$, and so $\|u(t=0)\| = 0$ forces $u = u_1 - u_2 = 0$. So the claim is proved.

Since $h_t^{N_m}(x,y) - k_t(x,y)$ is also a solution to the equation (6.15), by the uniqueness of solution we have that $h_t^{N_M}(x,y) - k_t(x,y) = \displaystyle\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathscr{D}^2} r_\tau(x,y)\mathrm{d}\tau$. Then for all $t \in (0,1]$,

$$\|c(x)k_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y) - c(x)h_t^{N_m}(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \leq t\sup\{\|c(x)r_\tau(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} | 0 \leq \tau \leq t\} \leq Ct^m,$$

where the second inequality is because of item (6.1).

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, for all $p > \frac{n}{2}$, $\|u\| \leq C_0\|u\|_p$ for $u \in H^p$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the $C^0$ sup norm and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the Sobolev $p$-norm. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(x)k_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y) - c(x)h_t^{N_m}(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\| &\leq C'\|c(x)k_t(x,y)\overline{c}(y) - c(x)h_t^{N_m}(x,y)\overline{c}(y)\|_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \\ &\leq C'Ct^m. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, since $c(x)$ and $\overline{c}(x_0)$ are compactly supported, the function in the norm is supported in a compact set in $M \times M$, where the theorem can be applied. □

*Remark* 6.6. From (6.6) $\lim_{t\to 0+} c(x)\,\mathrm{str}\,k_t(x,x) = \lim_{t\to 0+} c(x)\dfrac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}\displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{l} t^j\,\mathrm{str}\,a_j(x)$ for sufficiently large $l$. To calculate the left hand side it is sufficient to investigate $a_j$s on the right hand side.

If $a \in \mathrm{End}V_x$ then $a$ has a decomposition $a = b \otimes c, b \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM), c \in \mathrm{End}W$ as in (6.2). The super-trace $\mathrm{str}\,a$ is then calculated by $\mathrm{str}(b \otimes c) = \tau(b) \cdot \mathrm{str}^{V/S}(c)$ where $\mathrm{str}^{V/S}$ is the super-trace on $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphisms of $W$ under the identification $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}l(TM_x)}(V_x) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ and $\tau_s$ is the the super-trace on $\mathrm{End}S = S \otimes S^* = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$. The super-trace $\tau_s$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$ is explicitly calculated by [5] Proposition 3.21. Let $c = \sum c_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k} e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}$ be an element in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM) = \mathrm{End}(S)$, where $e_i$s are orthonormal frames of $T_xM$ and $c_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k}, 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n$ is the coefficient of the element $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$. Then

$$\tau_s(c) = (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{12\cdots n}. \tag{6.16}$$

The Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM)$ is a filtered algebra, more specifically, $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T_xM) = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \cup_{i=0}^n \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}_i$. Here $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}_i$ is the linear combination of $e_{j_1} \cdots e_{j_k}, k \leq i$. In proving Theorem 6.3, the following lemma is obtained as a corollary.

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let $a_i(x)$ be the ith term in the asymptotic expansion. Then*

$$a_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}l_{2i} \otimes \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}l(TM_x)}(S_x). \tag{6.17}$$

*Proof.* We define $a_i(x) = a_i(x,x)$ to be $\alpha(x,x)$. We need to show that $\alpha_i(y,y) \in \mathbb{C}l_{2i} \otimes \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}l}(V_y)$. Set $x = y$ in (6.11), then

$$\alpha_0(y,y) = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_j(y,y) = -\frac{1}{j}(\mathscr{D}^2\alpha_{j-1})(y,y).$$

with $\alpha_0(y,y) = 1 \in \mathbb{C}l_0 \otimes \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}l}(V_y)$. Inductively, the fact that $\mathscr{D}^2$ contains the factor $\mathfrak{c}(e_i)\mathfrak{c}(e_j)$, makes sure that the degree of $\alpha_i(x)$ does not increase by more than 2 compared to that of $\alpha_{i-1}(x)$. ☐

*Remark* 6.8. As a consequence of (6.16) and (6.17) we have $\mathrm{str}\, a_i(x) = 0$ for $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Therefore $\mathrm{ind}\,\mathscr{D} = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \sum_{i \geq \frac{n}{2}} t^i \int_M c(x)\,\mathrm{str}(a_i(x))\mathrm{d}x$. Furthermore, since the index is independent of $t$ and $n$ is even, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.9.** *The index of the graded Dirac operator $\mathscr{D}$ is equal to*

$$\mathrm{ind}\,\mathscr{D} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_M c(x)\,\mathrm{str}(a_{n/2}(x))\mathrm{d}x. \tag{6.18}$$

The element $\mathrm{str}(a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x))$ in (6.18) can be calculated analytically in terms of differential forms on $M$. To calculate $\mathrm{str}(a_{n/2}(x)) \in \mathrm{End}(V_x)$, we localize the operator $\mathscr{D}$ and the heat kernel $k_t(x,y)$ at a point $x$. Because the local calculation is irrelevant to $M$ being compact or not, we use the classical calculation of $\mathrm{str}\, a_{\frac{n}{2}}$ on a compact manifold without modification.Therefore, $\mathrm{str}(a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x))$ is the $n$ form part of $\det^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{R/2}{\sinh R/2})\,\mathrm{tr}^{V/S}(e^{-F})$. For details, please refer to [5] Chapter 4. Finally we obtain the following main theorem of this subsection.

**Theorem 6.10.** *Let $R$ be the curvature 2-form with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on the manifold (on $TM$). Then,*

$$\mathrm{ind}\,\mathscr{D} = \int_M c(x)\hat{A}(M) \cdot \mathrm{ch}(V/S). \tag{6.19}$$

*where $\hat{A}(M) = \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{R/4\pi i}{\sinh R/4\pi i})$ is the $\hat{A}$-class of $TM$ and $\mathrm{ch}(V/S) = \mathrm{tr}^{V/S}(e^{-F^{V/S}})$ is the relative Chern character, i.e. Chern character of the twisted curvature $F^{V/S}$ of the bundle $S$.*

### 6.2. **Conclusion.**

In this subsection we will figure out $\mathrm{ind} D_{V(\sigma_P)}$ where $D$ is the Dolbeault operator on $\Sigma X$, and where $V(\sigma_P)$ is a bundle over $\Sigma X$. $D_{V(\sigma_P)}$ is a generalized Dirac operator and we calculate the case when $\mathscr{D} = D_{V(\sigma_P)}$, $M = \Sigma X$ in the previous subsections. Firstly we have the following proposition, as a corollary to Theorem 6.10.

**Proposition 6.11.** *Let $G$ be a locally compact unimodular group and let $M$ be proper cocompact $G$-manifold of dimension $n$ having an almost complex structure, curvature $R$, a cutoff function $c \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and a $G$-bundle $E$ with curvature $F$. Let $D : L^2(M, \Lambda^{0,*}TM) \to$*

*$L^2(M,\Lambda^{0,*}TM)$ be the Dolbeault operator on $M$. Then the $L^2$-index of the twisted Dirac operator $D_E$ is,*

$$\operatorname{ind} D_E = \int_M c\mathrm{Td}(M)\mathrm{ch}(E),$$

*where* $\mathrm{Td}(M) = \det(\frac{R}{1-e^R})$ *and* $\mathrm{ch}(E) = \mathrm{tr}_s(e^{-F})$.

Both $\mathrm{Td}(M)$ and $\mathrm{ch}(E)$ are $G$-invariant forms. So the integral does not depend on the choice of the cutoff function. If $M = \Sigma X$, then the cutoff function on $M$ can be obtained from the cutoff function on $X$ by setting the values of the elements in the same fiber to be the same. The following index formula is immediate assuming the proposition.

**Theorem 6.12.** *Let $X$ be a complete Riemannian manifold where a locally compact unimodular group $G$ acts properly, cocompactly and isometrically. If $P$ is a zero order properly supported elliptic pseudo-differential operator, then the $L^2$ index of $P$ is given by the formula*

$$\operatorname{ind} P = \int_{TX} c(x)(\hat{A}(X))^2\mathrm{ch}(\sigma_P). \tag{6.20}$$

*Proof.* Set $M = \Sigma X, V = V(\sigma_P)$. Clearly, $M$ has an almost complex structure. By Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 6.11,

$$\operatorname{ind} P = \int_{\Sigma X} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(\Sigma M)\mathrm{ch}(V_{\sigma_P}) = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(TX\otimes\mathbb{C})\mathrm{ch}(\sigma_P).$$

Observe that $\mathrm{Td}(TX\otimes\mathbb{C}) = (\hat{A}(X))^2$, then the statement follows. ☐

*Proof of Proposition 6.11.* Let $J$ be an almost complex structure on $M$. Say $x_i, y_i, 1\le i\le m$ are a local frame of $TM$ and $J(x_i) = y_i, J(y_i) = -x_i$. $J$ extends $\mathbb{C}$-linearly to $TM\otimes\mathbb{C} = TM^{1,0}\oplus TM^{0,1}$ where $TM^{1,0} = \{v - iJv | v\in TM\}$, the set of holomorphic tangent vectors of the form $z_i \doteq x_j - iy_j$, is the $i$-eigenspace of $J$ and $TM^{0,1} = \{v + iJv, v\in TM\}$, the set of anti-holomorphic tangent vectors of form $\overline{z_j} \doteq x_j + iy_j$, is the $-i$-eigenspace of $J$. We have real isomorphisms $\pi^{1,0} : TM \to TM^{1,0}, v\mapsto v^{1,0} = \dfrac{1}{2}(v - iJv)$ and $\pi^{0,1} : TM \to TM^{0,1}, v\mapsto v^{0,1} = \dfrac{1}{2}(v + iJv)$. Therefore $(TM,J)\simeq TM^{1,0}\simeq \overline{TM^{0,1}}$ as an almost complex bundle.

Similarly, the complexified cotangent bundle decomposes as $T^*M\otimes\mathbb{C} = T^*M^{1,0}\oplus T^*M^{1,0}$ where $T^*M^{1,0} = \{\eta\in T^*M\otimes\mathbb{C} | \eta(Jv) = i\eta(v)\}$, consisting of covectors of form $z^j \doteq x^j + iy^j$, is the $\mathbb{C}$-dual of $TM^{1,0}$ (notation: $x^j(x_i) = \delta_{ij}, y^j(y_i) = \delta_{ij}$) and $T^*M^{0,1} = \{\eta\in T^*M\otimes\mathbb{C} | \eta(Jv) = -i\eta(v)\}$, consisting of covectors of form $\overline{z^j} \doteq x^j - iy^j$, is the $\mathbb{C}$-dual of $TM^{0,1}$. We also have real isomorphisms $T^*M\to T^*M^{1,0} : \eta\mapsto\eta^{1,0} = \dfrac{1}{2}(\eta - i(\eta\circ J))$ and $T^*M\to T^*M^{0,1} : \eta\mapsto\eta^{0,1} = \dfrac{1}{2}(\eta + i(\eta\circ J))$.

If $g$ is the $G$-invariant Riemannian metric on $M$, then the $G$-invariant Hermitian metric is defined by $h(X,Y) = g(X,Y) + \sqrt{-1}g(X,J(Y))$ for vector fields $X,Y\in TM$. Let $\Lambda^*M$ be the bundle of exterior algebras of $M$ and $\Omega^*M$, the set of smooth sections, which splits into types $(p,q)$ with $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*M = (\Lambda^pT^*M^{1,0})\otimes(\Lambda^qT^*M^{0,1})$. If $\alpha\in\Omega^{p,q}(M)$, then the differential decomposes into $\mathrm{d}\alpha = \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{p+q+1}(\mathrm{d}\alpha)^{1,p+q+1-i}$ and set $\partial\alpha = (\mathrm{d}\alpha)^{p+1,q}, \bar{\partial}\alpha =$

$(\mathrm{d}\alpha)^{p,q+1}$. The Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial} : \Omega^{0,q} \to \Omega^{0,q+1}$ is the order 1 differential operator given by $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ in the local coordinate $(x,y) \in M$. If we use the grading, the Dolbeault is $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ on $\Omega^{0,*}M$.

The Dolbeault operator "is" the canonical Dirac operator on $M$ in the sense that they have the same symbol. The canonical Dirac operator on $M$ is defined as follows. The bundle $S = \Lambda^{0,*}T^*M$ has an action of the cotangent vectors via Clifford multiplication:

$$c(\eta)s = \sqrt{2}(\varepsilon(\eta^{0,1})(s) - \iota(\eta^{1,0})s), \eta \in T^*M, s \in \Lambda^{0,*}T^*M.$$

Here, $c(x^i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varepsilon(\bar{z}) - \iota(z)), c(x^i)c(x^j) + c(x^j)c(x^i) = -2\delta_{ij}$ and $\varepsilon$ is the exterior multiplication and $\iota$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-linear compression by a vector.

The Dolbeault operator is defined to be $D = \sum c(e^i)\nabla^L_{e_i}$ where $\{e_i\}$ forms a local orthonormal basis of $TM$ and $\nabla^L$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $S$. Now if there is an auxiliary complex $G$-vector bundle $E \to M$, with a $G$-invariant Hermitian metric and $G$-invariant connection $\nabla^E$, the Dolbeault operator $D_E$ acting on $V = S \otimes E$ with coefficients in $E$ can be represented by (up to a lower order term):

$$D_E = \sum c(e_i)\nabla^V_{e_i}, \text{ where } \nabla^V = \nabla^L \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^E.$$

Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $M$ (on $(TM)^{0,1}$, being more precise) and $R = \nabla^2 \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathfrak{so}(TM))$ be Riemannian curvature, the matrix with two forms coefficient representing the curvature of $M$,

$$R(X,Y) = \nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_Y\nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}, X, Y \in C^\infty(M, TM).$$

In the orthonormal frame $e_i$ of $TM$, $R(e_i,e_j) = -\sum_{k<l}(R(e_i,e_j)e_l,e_k)e^k \wedge e^l$, where we identify $\mathfrak{so}(TM)$ with the bundle of two forms on $M$. Now we have a Clifford module $S = \Lambda(T^{0,1}M)^*$, $Cl(TM) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathrm{End}(S)$, on which $T^*M$ acts by Clifford multiplication. On $S$ there is a Clifford connection $\nabla^S$ so that the Clifford multiplication by unit vectors preserves the metric and $\nabla^S$ is compatible with the connection on $M$. Here, $\nabla(\phi) \cdot \sigma = (\nabla\phi) \cdot \sigma + \phi \cdot (\nabla\sigma), \phi \in \Omega^1, \sigma \in \Omega^{0,*}$. Let $R^S = (\nabla^S)^2$ be the curvature associated to $\nabla^S$. It is well know that the Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{spin}_n \simeq \mathfrak{so}_n$ given by $\frac{1}{4}[v,w] \mapsto v \wedge w$ implies that

$$R^S(e_i,e_j) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k<l}(R(e_i,e_j)e_k,e_l)c(e_k)c(e_l) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{kl}(R(e_i,e_j)e_k,e_l)c(e_k)c(e_l).$$

On $S$, there is also a Levi-Civita connection, denoted by $\nabla^L$. The associated curvature $R^L = (\nabla^V)^2 \in \mathrm{End}S$ is written as

$$R^L = R^S + F$$

where $R^S(\cdot,\cdot) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{kl}(R(\cdot,\cdot)\bar{z_k}, z_l)c(\bar{z_k})c(z_l) + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{kl}(R(\cdot,\cdot)z_k, \bar{z_l})c(z_k)c(\bar{z_l}) \in \mathrm{Cl}(TM)$ and $F \in \mathrm{End}_{Cl}V$ is the twisting curvature.

Recall that the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection on $\Lambda V^*$ is the derivation of the algebra $\Lambda V^*$ which coincides with $R(e_i,e_j)$ on $V$ and is given by the formula

$$\sum_{kl} < e^k, R(e_i,e_j)e_l > \varepsilon(e_k)\iota(e^l) = \sum_{kl}(R(e_i,e_j)e_l,e_k)\varepsilon(e^k)\iota(e^l).$$

Let $R^-$ be the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection on $T^{0,1}M$. Note that $R = R^-$. Then the curvature of the $\nabla^V$ on $S = \Lambda(T^{0,1})^*$ is given by

$$R^L(\cdot,\cdot) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j}(R^-(\cdot,\cdot)z_i,\overline{z_j})\varepsilon(\overline{z_j})\iota(z_i) = -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j}(R^-(\cdot,\cdot)z_i,\overline{z_j})c(\overline{z_j})c(z_i).$$

Using the fact that $c(z_i)^2 = 0, c(\overline{z_i})^2 = 0, c(z_i)c(\overline{z_j}) + c(\overline{z_j})c(z_i) = -4\delta ij$, where$c(z) = c(x)+ic(y), c(\overline{z}) = c(x)-ic(y)$. we have

$$F^{V/S} = R^V - R^S = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k (Rz_k,\overline{z_k}) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} R + F^E$$

and a direct calculation shows that

$$\hat{A}(M)e^{F^{V/S}} = \det\frac{R/2}{\sinh R/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} R}(e^{F^E}) = \det\frac{R}{e^R-1}(e^{F^E}) = \operatorname{Td}(M)\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-F^E}).$$

$\square$

The following theorem is an immediate corollary to Theorem 6.12.

**Theorem 6.13** (Atiyah's $L^2$-index theorem). *Let $D$ be an elliptic operator on a compact manifold $X$ and $\tilde{D}$ be the $\pi_1(X)$-invariant operator defined on the universal cover space $\tilde{X}$ as the lift of $D$. Then* $\operatorname{ind}\tilde{D} = \operatorname{ind} D$.

6.3. **$L^2$-index theorem for homogeneous spaces of Lie groups.** Let $G$ be a unimodular Lie group and $H$ be a compact subgroup. Consider the homogenous space $M = G/H$ of left cosets of $H$ in $G$, a $G$-bundle $\overline{E}$ over $M$ and a $G$-invariant elliptic operator $D$ on $\overline{E}$. The fiber of $\overline{E}$ at $eH$, denoted by $E = \overline{E}|_{eH}$, is an $H$-space, so that $\overline{E} = G\times_H E$. Similarly, set $V = T_{eH}M$, then $TM = G\times_H V$. Let $\Omega \in \Lambda^2(TM)^*\otimes\mathfrak{gl}(TM)$ be the curvature of $M$, associated to the $G$-invariant Levi-Civita connection on $TM$. Then we have the $G$-invariant $\hat{A}$-class

$$\hat{A}(M) = \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Omega/4\pi i}{\sinh\Omega/4\pi i}.$$

Let $\Omega^E \in \Lambda^2(\Sigma M)^*\otimes\mathfrak{gl}(V(\sigma_A))$ be a curvature form associated to some $G$-invariant connection on $V(\sigma_D)$ over $\Sigma M$. Then

$$\operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{\Omega^E}|_{TM}$$

is the Chern character of $V(\sigma_A)$ restricted to $TM$. Let $\Omega_V$ be the curvature tensor $\Omega$ restricted to $V = T_{eH}M$ and $\Omega_V^E$ be the curvature tensor $\Omega^E$ restricted to $V$. Then we define the corresponding $\hat{A}$-class and Chern character as

$$\hat{A}(M)_V \doteq \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Omega_V/2}{\sinh\Omega_V/2} \text{ and } \operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D)_V \doteq \operatorname{Tr} e^{\Omega_V^E}.$$

We have as a corollary the $L^2$-index theorem for homogeneous spaces.

**Corollary 6.14.** *The $L^2$-index of a $G$-invariant elliptic operator $D: L^2(M,\overline{E}) \to L^2(M,\overline{E})$ is*

$$\operatorname{ind} D = \int_V \hat{A}^2(M)_V\operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D)_V. \tag{6.21}$$

*Proof.* The $L^2$-index theorem of $D$ says that

$$\operatorname{ind} D = \int_{TM} c\hat{A}^2(M)\operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D).$$

Since $TM = G \times_H V$, the integration of the form $c\hat{A}^2(M)\operatorname{ch}(\sigma_A)$ on $TM$ can be computed by lifting to an $H$-invariant form on $G \times V$ and then integrating over the group part and then the tangent space at $eH$. Since $\hat{A}^2(M)\operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D)$ is $G$-invariant, then at any $g \in G$, the form will be the same as its value at the unit $e$ of $G$: $\hat{A}^2(M)_V \operatorname{ch}(\sigma_A)_V$. Hence,

$$\int_{TM} c\hat{A}^2(M)\operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D) = \int_V \hat{A}^2(M)_V \operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D)_V \int_G c(g^{-1}v)\mathrm{vol} = \int_V \hat{A}^2(M)_V \operatorname{ch}(\sigma_D)_V,$$

where vol is the volume form on $G$. □

*Remark* 6.15. The formula (6.21) is essentially the $L^2$-index formula in [8]. The components of the formula in 6.21 are sketched as follows. On the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ there is an $H$-invariant splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of $H$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is an $H$-invariant complement. $V = T_{eH}(G/H)$ is a candidate for $\mathfrak{m}$. There is a curvature form on $m$ defined by $\Theta(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta([X,Y]), X,Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ where $\theta$ is the connection form given by the projection $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$. $\Theta$ composed with $r : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{gl}(E)$, the differential of a unitary representation of $H$ on some vector space $E$, is an $H$-invariant curvature form $\Theta_r(X,Y) = r(\Theta(X,Y)), X,Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then

$$\operatorname{ch} : R(H) \to H^*(g,H) : r \mapsto \operatorname{Tr} e^{\Theta_r}$$

is a well-defined Chern character ([8] page 309). Also, compose the curvature form (6.15), with $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, the differential of the $H$-module structure of $V$. And a curvature form $\Theta_V \in \Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ on $V$ is constructed and the $\hat{A}$-class is defined as

$$\hat{A}(\mathfrak{g},H) = \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Theta_V/2}{\sinh \Theta_V/2}.$$

The $L^2$-index formula of $D$ in [8] is

$$\operatorname{ind} D = \int_V \operatorname{ch}(a)\hat{A}(\mathfrak{g},H), \tag{6.22}$$

where $a$ is an element of the representation ring $R(H)$, specifically $a$ is the pre-image of $V(\sigma_D)|_{V^+}$ under the Thom isomorphism $R(H) \to K_H(V)$. Here, $V^+$ is the space built from $V$ by adding one point at infinity. It is the ball fiber in $\Sigma M$ at $eH$. Note that the Thom isomorphism exists only for the case when the action of $H$ on $V$, $H \to SO(V)$ lifts to $\operatorname{Spin}(V)$. The general case was done by introducing a double covering of $H$ and by reducing the problem to this situation [8] page 307.

To see that 6.21 and 6.22 are the same formula, we prove the following assertions.

(1) $\hat{A}(M)_V = \hat{A}(\mathfrak{g},H)$.

In fact, since $TM = G \times_H V$ is a principal $G$-bundle over $V/H$ and $V$ is a principal $H$-bundle over $V/H$, then by [16] II Prop. 6.4, the connection form on $TM$ restricted to $V$ is also a connection form. Also, on $G/H$, the restriction of any $G$-invariant tensor on $TM$ to $V$ is an $H$-invariant tensor on $V$. Therefore $\Omega_V$ is an $H$-invariant curvature form on $V$ and the restriction $\hat{A}(M)_V$ is the $\hat{A}$-class defined by curvature $\Omega_V$. By definition $\hat{A}(\mathfrak{g},H)$ is the $\hat{A}$-class of the curvature $\Theta_V$ on $V$, $\hat{A}$-class of another connection on the same $V$. The statement is proved because $\hat{A}$ is a topological invariant and is independent of the choice of connection on $V$.

(2) $\mathrm{ch}(\sigma_D)_V = \mathrm{ch}(a)$.

Similarly to the last proof, $\Omega_V^E$ is an $H$-invariant curvature form of $V(\sigma_D)|_{V^+}$ restricted to $V$. Recall that $V(\sigma_D)$ is glued by the $G$-invariant symbol $\sigma_D$ and therefore it is determined by its restriction at the ball fiber, $V^+$. By definition $V(\sigma_D)|_{V^+}$ is glued two copies of $BV \times E$ on the boundary by $\sigma_D|_{SV}$. Note that the evaluation of $\sigma_D|_{SV}$ at $\xi \in SV$ is $\sigma_D(eH, \xi) \in GL(E), \xi \in V, \|\xi\| = 1$. We have an $H$-bundle $V(\sigma_D)|_V = V \times_H E$ where $r : H \to E$. Hence the curvature $\Omega_V^E$ is $r$ composed with some curvature form on $V$. The statement follows from the fact that $\mathrm{ch}(r)$ is independent of the connection and the choice of the $H$-invariant splitting of $G$.

## REFERENCES

[1] S. A. Antonyan. Proper actions on topological groups: Applications to quotient spaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 138:3707–3716, 2010.

[2] M. Atiyah. Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras. *Société Mathématique de France*, 1976.

[3] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, and V. K. Patodi. On the heat equation and the index theorem. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, (19):279–330, 1973.

[4] P. Baum and R. G. Douglas. K homology and index theory. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, 38(1):117–173, 1982.

[5] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer, 2003.

[6] G. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic Press, 1972.

[7] M. Breuer. Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras. I. *Math. Ann.*, 178:243–254, 1968.

[8] A. Connes and H. Moscovici. The $\mathrm{L}^2$-index theorem for the homogeneous spaces of Lie groups. *Ann. of Math.*, 115:291–330, 1982.

[9] A. Connes and H. Moscovici. Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups. *Topology*, 29(3):345–388, 1990.

[10] E. Getzler. A short proof of the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem. *Topology*, pages 111–117, 1986.

[11] P. B. Gilkey. Curvature and eigenvalues of the Laplacian for elliptic complexes. *Advances in Mathematics*, pages 433–382, 1973.

[12] P. B. Gilkey. *The index theorem and the heat equation*. Publish or Perish, inc. (Boston), 1974.

[13] G. Kasparov. The index of invariant elliptic operators, K-theory, and Lie group representations. *English translation: Soviet Mathematics-Doklady,*, 27:105–109, 1983.

[14] G. Kasparov. Equivariant *KK*-theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 91(1):147–201, 1988.

[15] G. Kasparov. K-theoretic index theorem for elliptic operators. *Preprint*, 2008.

[16] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, volume I. Weily-Interscience, weily classics library edition edition, 1996.

[17] M. Lesch, H. Moscovici, and M. J. Pflaum. Connes-Chern character for manifolds with boundary and eta cochains. *arXiv:0912.0194v2*, 2009.

[18] M. Marcolli and V. Mathai. Twisted index theory on good orbifolds, II: Fractional quantum numbers. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 217:55–87, 2001.

[19] J. J. Perez. The G-fredholm property of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problems. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 2008.

[20] J. Roe. *Elliptic Operators, topology and asymptotic methods*. Chapman and Hall, second edition, 1998.

[21] T. Schick. $\mathrm{L}^2$-index theorems, KK-theory, and connections. *New York Journal of Mathematics*, 11:387–443, 2005.

[22] M. Shubin. Von Neumann algebra and $\mathrm{L}^2$ techniques in geometry and topology.

[23] G. Skandalis. Some remarks on Kasparov theory. *Journal of functional analysis*, 56(3):337–347, 1984.

[24] M. Stern. $\mathrm{L}^2$-index theorems on locally symmetric spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 96:231–282, 1989.

1227I STEVENSON CENTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TN, USA, 37240.

(Current Address) ROOM 143, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, JINCHUNYUAN WEST BUILDING, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAI DIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA 100084.

*E-mail address*: `hwang@math.tsinghua.edu.cn, hang.wang@vanderbilt.edu.`